CANNABIS FOR THE TREATMENT OF CANCER
THE ANTICANCER ACTIVITY OF PHYTOCANNABINOIDs AND ENDOCANNABINOIDs

BY:
JUSTIN KANDER
EDITED BY: DENNIS HILL
Millions of people around the world die from cancer every year. Despite advances in oncological science, many types of cancer are virtually incurable. Furthermore, even when conventional options are effective, they often cause long-term or permanent damage along with immense short-term pain and discomfort. Due to these circumstances, there is a desperate need for new, safe, and powerful treatments.

Phytocannabinoids derived from the cannabis plant appear to be the biologically ideal solution to cancer. They exert anti-cancer activity against both common and lesser known cancers in cell and animal models, and their generalized therapeutic effects indicate they may fight all cancers at some level. Of course, it is more than likely that some specific types of cancers or some patient populations will be resistant to phytocannabinoid treatment. However, it is clear that cannabis extracts can fight many cancers in humans, and research is critically needed to determine the full extent of these effects. The existing scientific and anecdotal evidence is a useful guide for future research.

The sections of this book are as follows:

I. The Protective Role of the Endocannabinoid System Against Cancer
II. The Anti-Cancer Activity of Phytocannabinoids
III. Human Case Results
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I. The Protective Role of the Endocannabinoid System Against Cancer

The endocannabinoid system (ECS) is a network of cannabinoid receptors, endogenous cannabinoids (endocannabinoids), and enzymes that function to maintain homeostasis in organisms. As environmental factors change, the ECS works to stabilize numerous health metrics. Part of the system’s homeostatic role is conferring protection against a wide variety of internal and external threats. For example, endocannabinoids increase in the brain after head trauma as an attempt to protect cells (Pacher, Bátkai, and Kunos). Significant evidence suggests the ECS plays a protective role against cancer as well.

Both endocannabinoids and phytocannabinoids inhibit cancers through several mechanisms. The most potent method is induction of apoptosis, or programmed cell death. All cells contain the machinery to destroy themselves when they become too old or damaged. However, cancer cells grow abnormally and cease to initiate apoptosis. Cannabinoids restore the ability of cancer cells to undergo programmed cell death, thus killing them. Through the complex modulation of biological processes, cannabinoids also slow cancer cell reproduction, prevent tumors from forming their own blood vessels, and inhibit the spread of cancer within local tissue and to other tissues. These functions are respectively known as anti-proliferative, anti-angiogenic, anti-invasive, and anti-metastatic properties. Other unique modes of action that do not fall under these categories are explained later.

Endocannabinoids have been shown to kill numerous types of cancer cells. Anandamide, the first endocannabinoid discovered, is predominantly responsible for the ECS’s anti-cancer activity. Several studies have implicated anandamide and related compounds as anti-cancer agents. In addition to discussing results, the following analyses will include information on relevant
concepts and compounds. As such, the earliest portions of the following section contain a significant amount of basic biology information, which is necessary for complete understanding. The need to explain concepts and compounds progressively diminishes throughout the book.

Studies

A June 2003 study in *Prostate* reported that anandamide induced apoptosis in the prostate cancer cell lines LNCaP, DU145, and PC3 (Mimeault et al.). Cancer cell lines are standardized collections of cells with the same genetic makeup. In this case, the cell lines above are different types of prostate cancer with different levels of metastatic potential. LNCaP has low potential, DU145 has moderate potential, and PC3 has high potential (Pulukuri et al.). Therefore, PC3 theoretically represents the most potentially fatal form of prostate cancer, as the true danger of cancer stems from its ability to travel throughout the body.

Anandamide inhibited proliferation and induced apoptosis in the above cell lines by activating CB₁ receptors on the cancer cells’ surface (Mimeault et al.). This caused a decrease in epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression and less epidermal growth factor (EGF)-stimulated growth. EGF is a growth factor protein that increases cell proliferation through binding with EGFR (Herbst). By reducing EGFR, anandamide cut off a significant source of fuel for the cancer cells.

In addition to affecting EGFR, CB₁ activation increased cellular ceramide production. Ceramide is a lipid molecule that comprises part of cell membrane structures. It is also involved in a variety of cellular functions and signaling. When the amount of ceramide rises to a certain point, it can interfere with the cell cycle.

The cell cycle is the process by which a cell divides into two daughter cells (“The Cell Cycle”). It consists of four stages – Gap 1 (G₁), Synthesis (S), Gap 2 (G₂), and Mitosis (M). The gaps, which are essentially checkpoints to prevent errors (among other functions), prepare the cell for DNA replication (S stage) and cellular division (M stage). The Gap 0 (G₀) stage is a resting, quiescent state. An increase in ceramide has been linked to cell cycle arrest in the G₁ stage (Zhu et al.).
In the *Prostate* study, the anandamide-mediated ceramide increase led to G₁ arrest and "massive cell death by apoptosis and/or necrosis" in DU145 and PC3 cells (Mimeault et al.). The cytotoxic effects of anandamide were strongest against the DU145 and PC3 lines, with less efficacy against the LNCaP line.

Cannabinoids generally kill cancer cells by initiating apoptosis, but there are instances where necrosis occurs instead. Necrosis usually results from injury by a traumatic stimulus, and is characterized by cell membrane rupture and spillage of cell contents into the extracellular area. This can lead to inflammation and death of adjacent cells (Goodlett and Horn). Most standard chemotherapeutic agents kill cancer via necrosis. Apoptosis is more deliberate and keeps adjacent cells safe. It is better for cancer cells to die via apoptosis than necrosis. In the vast majority of studies, cannabinoids are indicated to cause apoptotic cell death, which is one of their primary advantages.
A 2011 study demonstrated anandamide and two other endocannabinoid-like compounds, palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) and oleoylethanolamide (OEA), reduced the viability of N1E-115 neuroblastoma cells (Hamtiaux et al.). Cell viability is a key measure of cell health.

There are several indicators of cell viability, including adenosine triphosphate (ATP) concentration (“Cell Proliferation”). ATP is the chief unit of energy in cells, and it declines rapidly during necrosis or apoptosis. Higher levels generally mean a more viable cell. There are other cell viability assays like membrane leakage assays and mitochondrial assays for situations where measuring metabolic activity via ATP is not possible.

The technique used in the above study and many others is the MTT assay. It measures the activity of mitochondrial enzymes and their ability to convert a specific chemical dye into insoluble crystals.

While reduction in cell viability often leads to apoptosis, the above study found anandamide, PEA, and OEA reduced the number of viable cells without inducing apoptosis (Hamtiaux et al.). The compounds time- and dose-dependently decreased viability, so as more time elapsed and higher concentrations were used, the loss of viability progressively increased.
Results for PEA and OEA were very similar and can be found on Page 11 of the online study.

In addition to impairing viability, anandamide reduced cell proliferation without inducing apoptosis. These effects were enhanced when the compound was combined with a fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitor. FAAH is the enzyme that breaks down anandamide, so inhibiting it is an indirect way of increasing anandamide concentration.

Since apoptosis was not induced, it was concluded the antiproliferative effects of anandamide and the FAAH inhibitor were due to an arrest of the cell cycle progression. Overall, the results indicated a probable reduced transition from the G1 to S phase, as well as a "global slow-down of the cell cycle progression that appears to extend to all phases of the cell cycle" (Hamtiaux et al.). In effect, anandamide prevents DNA replication and mitosis of neuroblastoma cells.

To find out the mechanisms by which anandamide inhibited proliferation, the N15-115 cells were examined for the presence of receptors that respond to cannabinoids. CB1 (but not CB2), TRPV1, GPR55, PPAR-alpha (PPAR-α), and PPAR-gamma (PPAR-γ) receptors were all found. Antagonists of these receptors were administered alongside anandamide to determine if they could prevent the antiproliferative effects. Since the addition of the antagonists had no effect, it was shown that anandamide's mechanism of action was not mediated via any of the
traditional receptors. However, there are other cancers where anandamide does induce apoptosis or other effects through CB₁ and TRPV₁.

In this case, receptor-independent effects involving cell membrane changes were responsible for anandamide’s effects. Using a lipid raft disruptor, it was determined that the antiproliferative effects were lipid raft-mediated. Lipid rafts are components of the cell membrane structure that facilitate membrane fluidity and protein trafficking. Many types of receptors are located within these lipid raft microdomains. Some property of anandamide apparently enables it to interact with lipid rafts independently of receptors to stop cancer cell reproduction.

Lipid rafts were implicated in the anandamide-induced apoptosis of rat C6 glioma cells in a 2005 study in *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* (Bari et al.). The study also suggested that lipid rafts control CB₁ binding and signaling. Indeed, CB₁ receptors are among many G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that function within lipid rafts.

Most receptors are found on the outside of cells, and their activation causes a response inside the cell. Receptors are protein-based and integral to thousands of biological functions. GPCRs are one of four categories of cellular receptors (Purves et al.). They include the traditional cannabinoid receptors CB₁ and CB₂. Orphan GPCRs, so called because their endogenous ligand is unknown, also interact with cannabinoids. In the future, at least some orphan receptors, like GPR55, will inevitably be reclassified as cannabinoid receptors. Other receptors like TRPV₁ and PPAR-γ fall into the categories of channel-linked receptors and intracellular receptors, respectively.

GPCRs pass through the cell membrane seven times and are attached (coupled) to guanine nucleotide-binding proteins. They are also known as heterotrimeric guanosine triphosphate (GTP) binding proteins or simply G proteins. GTP, like ATP, is a nucleoside triphosphate involved in many biological processes. G proteins are classified as heterotrimeric because they consist of three different subunits – alpha, beta, and gamma (“Cell Signalling”).

When a ligand binds with a receptor, the receptor’s structure changes and the attached G protein is activated. This activation entails an exchange of guanosine diphosphate (GDP) for GTP in the alpha subunit, which allows the G protein to split into an active GTP-bound alpha subunit and beta/gamma complex (a single large molecule composed of the beta and gamma subunits). Until the subunits separate, the G protein is considered inactive. After splitting, the subunits activate distinct effector enzymes or ion channels, which regulate concentrations of secondary messengers (“Cell Signalling”). This process results in a specific cellular response, such as modulating gene expression. The signal terminates when the GTP in the alpha unit is reduced to GDP, which allows the alpha and beta/gamma subunits to recombine and reattach to the receptor (“Guanine Nucleotide”).

While the beta/gamma complex is important, the alpha subunit is primarily responsible for the regulation of effectors. G proteins are categorized based on the type of alpha subunit present. For example, a Gₛ protein stimulates the enzyme adenylyl cyclase, whereas Gᵢ proteins inhibit it. Adenylyl cyclase is a major enzyme with regulatory importance in nearly all cells, as it
catalyzes the conversion of ATP to cyclic AMP (adenosine monophosphate), which is an important second messenger that relays signals from first messengers (extracellular receptor ligands).

(Purves et al.)
In the previous study, TRPV₁ receptors were implicated in the apoptosis-inducing effect of anandamide (Bari et al.). Interestingly, CB₁ receptors were found to have a protective effect in C6 cells, as blocking them with an antagonist increased anandamide-mediated apoptosis. However, lipid raft disruption and CB₁ antagonism had no effect in human CHP100 neuroblastoma cells, as they lacked CB₁ receptor expression. As with C6 cells, apoptosis in CHP100 cells occurred through TRPV₁ receptor activation. Not being GPCRs, TRPV₁ receptors are not localized within lipid rafts and thus are not affected by raft disruption.

Activation of TRPV₁ disrupts mitochondrial integrity and allows cytochrome c to pass through the mitochondrial membrane. Mitochondria are the energy-producing organelles of cells, and their proper function is integral to cell viability. Cytochrome c is a small protein in the mitochondria involved in the electron transport chain, which generates energy in the form of ATP. The protein also plays a role in mediating apoptosis, so its accumulation outside the mitochondria encourages programmed cell death.

TRPV₁ receptors are located throughout the entire body, including neuronal and nonneuronal tissues in the brain and peripheral organ systems. They are also located inside cells on structures like smooth endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi apparatus (Veronesi and Oortgiesen). The receptors are activated by a stunningly wide variety of stimuli, including endogenous ligands like anandamide and inflammatory mediators. High temperatures, low-pH acids, and electrostatic charges can activate TRPV₁ channels as well. Activation can result in the release of neuropeptides like Substance P, a compound well known for conveying pain signals. Other cells respond to these neuropeptides by releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines like interleukin-1 beta and tumor necrosis factor-alpha, which promote and sustain inflammation. Ultimately, due to the distribution of TRPV₁ receptors and the agents they respond to, they are probably involved with environmental and chemical-associated neurogenic inflammation. For some reason, cannabinoid-mediated TRPV₁ activation does not seem to cause inflammatory issues.
A 2010 study from a Chinese institute demonstrated the effects of anandamide on both human L02 liver cells and HepG2 hepatocellular carcinoma cells (Wu et al.). CB₁ receptor expression levels were low in both types of cells; conversely, CB₂ receptor levels were high. Anandamide was found to induce necrosis in the HepG2 cells, but not the L02 cells, by activating both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors. This is an interesting conclusion, given that anandamide does not bind well with CB₂ receptors and the CB₁ receptor levels on the cancer cells were low.

The necrosis was accompanied by an increase in two important protein classes. The first, p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), are part of a family of enzymes involved in embryogenesis, stress responses, and the cellular processes of differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis (Pearson et al.).

The second class, Jun N-terminal kinases, are also a type of MAPK integral to cell proliferation and apoptosis processes. The context (source of stimulation and cell type) of JNK activation influences which action is taken (Dhanasekaran and Reddy). Kinases are enzymes that act as signal transducers by transferring phosphate groups between molecules. The movement of phosphate groups can turn enzymes on or off. Both the p38 MAPK and JNK pathways are involved in many cannabinoid-related apoptosis processes.

A 1998 study conducted in Italy showed that anandamide dose-dependently inhibited proliferation of the breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and EFM-19 (De Petrocellis et al., "The Endogenous"). The endocannabinoid had no pro-apoptotic effects but reduced the number of cells in the S phase of the cell cycle. 2-arachidonoyl glycerol (2-AG), the other primary endocannabinoid, inhibited EFM-19 proliferation as well. Anandamide also impaired proliferation of the breast cancer cell lines T-47D and BT-474, but was ineffective against other cell types including N18TG2 neuroblastoma, RBL-2H3 leukemia, and H5V endothelioma cells.

Interestingly, anandamide was proposed to exert its anti-proliferative effect through a CB₁-like receptor, but not explicitly the CB₁ receptor itself. Despite the lack of explicit designation, it is likely CB₁ activation was at least partially responsible for anandamide's effect, as it was reversed by the CB₁ antagonist SR141716A.

Many forms of breast cancer fuel their own growth through the production of hormones. Prolactin is one such hormone; it is involved in the production of milk and hundreds of other processes. Most breast cancer cell lines produce significant amounts of prolactin, which subsequently activates prolactin receptors and enhances proliferation through acceleration of the G1/S transition. Anandamide appears to reduce prolactin receptor synthesis, thus inhibiting prolactin action. The endocannabinoid also downregulated levels of the brcal protein, which increases in response to prolactin and is involved in promoting the aforementioned cell cycle transition.

In summary, the process can be described as:

CB₁-like receptor activation -> downregulation of prolactin receptors -> decrease in prolactin activity -> decrease in brcal protein expression -> decrease in cancer cell proliferation.
Another publication from Italian researchers reported the efficacy of a metabolically stable analog of anandamide called 2-methyl-2'-F-anandamide (Met-F-AEA) against breast cancer cell adhesion and migration (Grimaldi et al.). Met-F-AEA inhibited adhesion and migration of human and mouse breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and TSA-E1 on a Type IV collagen surface through activation of CB1 receptors. The compound was also found to significantly reduce the number and size of metastatic nodes in an in vivo model.

The anti-metastatic effects were proposed to occur via reductions in phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and Src, two tyrosine kinases involved in migration and adhesion of many cell types. As previously described, kinases help convey signals and activate other enzymes by transferring phosphate groups from high-energy donors like ATP. Tyrosine kinases are simply a subclass of the general kinase family, distinguished by the propensity of phosphate groups to attach to a tyrosine amino acid.

Dysregulation of FAK, Src, and other tyrosine kinases is involved in the proliferation of many cancers, which has led to the development of tyrosine kinase inhibitors as a conventional treatment option. Since CB1 receptor activation was implicated in the reduced phosphorylation of FAK and Src kinases, CB1 agonists may potentially reduce kinase activity without the side effects of traditional kinase inhibitors.

A study in The Journal of Biological Chemistry illuminated how anandamide induces apoptosis in human neuroblastoma CHP100 and lymphoma U937 cells (Maccarrone et al.). Rat glioma C6 cells and human leukemia DAUDI cells were also susceptible to anandamide. Apoptosis increased in a dose and time-dependent manner. The endocannabinoid and endocannabinoid-like compounds 2-AG, oleoylethanolamide (OEA), and palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) had no effect on the cancers. The graph below depicts the dose-dependent relationship between anandamide and apoptosis. The levels of apoptosis are indicated relative to the apoptosis rates of nontreated cancer cells. The white bars are CHP100 cells and the gray bars are U937 cells.
The apoptotic effects in both cell lines were mediated by TRPV\textsubscript{1} receptors. However, in agreement with a previous study, the apoptosis response of C6 cells to anandamide was higher when CB\textsubscript{1} receptors, but not CB\textsubscript{2} receptors, were blocked. Interestingly, the apoptosis response of DAUDI cells to anandamide was higher when the CB\textsubscript{2} receptors, but not CB\textsubscript{1} receptors, were blocked. In these cases, cannabinoid receptors appear to have an anti-apoptotic role whereas TRPV\textsubscript{1} receptors seem to have a pro-apoptotic function. Somehow, despite anandamide being able to bind with CB\textsubscript{1} and TRPV\textsubscript{1} receptors, it preferentially activates the latter receptor to induce apoptosis, rather than the former receptor to prevent it. It is also possible that anandamide activates both receptors, but the function of TRPV\textsubscript{1} overrides that of CB\textsubscript{1}.

Researchers also combined anandamide with cannabidiol (CBD), a plant-based cannabinoid. The combination slightly increased the apoptosis rates seen in both C6 and DAUDI cells compared with cells treated solely with anandamide.

Several downstream actions after TRPV\textsubscript{1} activation by anandamide were responsible for initiating the apoptosis process. One of these actions was mitochondrial uncoupling, wherein the mitochondria switched from manufacturing energy in the form of ATP to producing it as heat.

Intracellular calcium levels and cytochrome c levels also increased. Cytochrome c stimulates activity of the apoptotic enzymes caspase-3 and caspase-9. Caspases are integral components of the programmed cell death process. They fall under the category of proteases; enzymes which break down proteins. In effect, the activation of TRPV\textsubscript{1} by anandamide increases intracellular calcium levels, which leads to mitochondrial uncoupling, the release of cytochrome c, and subsequent enhancement of caspase activity, ultimately resulting in apoptosis.

Interestingly, while the above study and some others have indicated an apparent protective effect of CB\textsubscript{1} receptors on cancer cells, a 2011 study from the Central Hospital of Wuhan in China showed how anandamide strongly suppressed the proliferation of CaCo-2
colorectal cancer cells through a CB₁ receptor-dependent mechanism (Liao et al.). Anandamide also induced apoptosis in the cancer cells through an apparent receptor-independent interaction with lipid rafts. These effects of anandamide were dose-dependent and increased expression of the pro-apoptotic enzyme caspase-3. An earlier 2008 study in Italy had showed increasing anandamide levels with enzyme or transport inhibitors reduced the development of precancerous colon lesions (Izzo et al.).

Another study conducted in 2010 revealed more about how anandamide induces non-apoptotic cell death in apoptosis-resistant colon cancer cells (Patsos et al.). First, it was shown that cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression was a determining factor in the sensitivity of cancer cells to anandamide-induced apoptosis. COX-1 and COX-2 are enzymes that help synthesize prostanoids, which are fatty-acid based molecules that promote inflammation. While the specific function of COX-2 in regards to cell death was not explored in the article, its necessity was adequately demonstrated. HCA7 colorectal cancer cells, which highly express COX-2, are sensitive to cell death via anandamide, but blocking COX-2 expression protects cancer cells from death and growth inhibition.

To explore how anandamide affected apoptosis-resistant cancers, the researchers used HCT116 colorectal carcinoma cells which were deficient in Bak and Bax expression. These proteins are integral to the apoptosis process, so cells lacking these proteins are apoptosis-resistant. Since HCT116 cells are naturally low in COX-2, they were transfected with the enzyme. Indeed, when COX-2 was present, anandamide was very effective at inducing non-apoptotic cell death in HCT116 cells. Anandamide also induced cell death in unaltered HCT116 cells, but at a lower rate than the COX-2 transfected cells. The process was not mediated by the cannabinoid receptors or reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation.

A 2009 study from the University of Tokyo examined the effect of anandamide alone or in combination with the chemotherapeutic agent paclitaxel on human gastric cancer HGC-27 cells
(Miyato et al.). At the very low dose of 1 micromole (µM), anandamide stimulated proliferation of cancer cells; at the larger dose of 10µM, it strongly suppressed proliferation and induced apoptosis through an apparent CB₁ receptor-dependent mechanism. A synthetic CB₁ agonist, arachidonyl-2-chloroethylamide, produced similar bimodal effects.

At the 10µM level, but not 1µM, anandamide synergistically enhanced the pro-apoptotic action of paclitaxel, possibly by activating caspase-3, -8, and -9. This supports the idea that cannabinoids do not interfere with traditional chemotherapy, but enhance its effectiveness.

The effects of cannabinoid receptor stimulation and blockade on mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) cell viability were researched in a 2005 Swedish study (Flygare et al.). MCL cells derived from tumor biopsies of fifteen patients were used, along with numerous other cell lines. Interestingly, anandamide and the synthetic cannabinoid Win-55,212-2 (both CB₁/CB₂ agonists) as well as the CB₁ antagonist SR141716A all inhibited viability of biopsy-derived MCL cells. Also of particular interest, in this case a reverse dose-dependent relationship was observed with the cannabinoids. Smaller doses caused sharper reductions in cell viability. Conversely, there was a dose-dependent relationship between SR141716A and reduced viability of the cancer cells.

---

As the study points out, it is curious how CB₁ blockade has been shown to either potentiate or inhibit the anti-cancer effects of anandamide. The relationship was examined further by testing anandamide and SR141716A combinations on the Rec-1 MCL cell line and the MCF-7 breast
cancer cell line. A small (1µM) dose of SR141716A inhibited anandamide's ability to reduce viability in the Rec-1 line, but a larger dose (5µM) potentiated it. On the contrary, in the MCF-7 line, both doses inhibited anandamide. Interestingly, the smaller dose had a greater inhibitory effect than the larger one.

A further experiment led the authors to conclude that CB₁ receptors mediated the viability-reducing effect of anandamide. Furthermore, although CB₁ antagonism had an anti-cancer effect, CB₁ agonism was shown to exert greater anti-cancer action. This was determined through experiments that compared Win-55,212-2 and SR141716A. While the role of TRPV₁ receptors was not explored, it is possible that, as shown in other studies, the concurrent activation of TRPV₁ and antagonism of CB₁ creates greater anti-cancer effects. In any case, the ability of both CB₁ activation and blockade to stop cancer warrants more investigation into why this is happening.

An October 2013 study by researchers at the University of Pisa in Italy described how anandamide induced apoptosis in melanoma A375 cells (Adinolfi et al.). By activating CB₁ receptors, anandamide led to a concentration-dependent decrease in cell viability with a corresponding increase in caspase-3 and caspase-7 activation, which is associated with apoptosis. Lipid rafts and GPR55 were also potentially implicated in the action of anandamide, as the activation of GPR55 with a synthetic agonist reduced cell viability and the destruction of lipid rafts reversed anandamide-induced cytotoxicity.

COX-2 and lipoxygenase (LOX), a family of enzymes involved in catalyzing reactions involving polyunsaturated fatty acids, were also integral to the cytotoxic effect of anandamide. While the precise mechanisms were not explored, it was postulated that COX-2 metabolizes anandamide into cytotoxic prostaglandin E₂-ethanolamides. Additionally, it was theorized that metabolism of anandamide by 15-lipoxygenase into eoxamides contributed to anandamide-mediated cytotoxicity. These theories were based on observations from other studies.

A May 2014 study in Head & Neck showed that anandamide, but not 2-AG, inhibited proliferation of human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) SNU-1041, SNU-
1066, and PCI-1 cells (Park et al.). High levels of anandamide killed the cells. Another HNSCC cell line, PCI-13, as well as two noncancerous cell lines (HOK-16B and fibroblast cell lines), were unaffected by anandamide. The anticancer effects were not mediated by cannabinoid or TRPV receptors, but relied on the intracellular transport of anandamide into the cancer cells. Once inside the cells, anandamide caused an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) and 8-isoprostanes (prostaglandin-like compounds formed from free-radical catalyzed peroxidation of fatty acids). Increased ROS levels were largely responsible for the antiproliferative and cell-killing effects of anandamide. Whether the death of cells was apoptotic or necrotic in nature was not explored.

A study by researchers at University Hospital in Switzerland examined anandamide's effects on Caski, HeLa, and C299 cervical carcinoma cells (Contassot et al.). Anandamide administration induced apoptosis in all three cell lines, as indicated by DNA fragmentation and caspase-7 cleavage. The effects were mediated primarily through activation of TRPV1 receptors. Blocking CB1 or CB2 receptors enhanced the viability-reducing effect of anandamide, indicating a protective role of cannabinoid receptors for those cells.

Despite some uncertainties at the cellular level, human evidence suggests both CB1 and CB2 receptors possess anti-cancer functionality. A study compared dozens of hepatocellular carcinoma patients with either high or low expression levels of CB1 and CB2 receptors (Xu et al.). Patients with high expression levels had significantly better disease-free survival than those with low expression levels. This phenomenon may be due to the involvement of CB1 and CB2 receptors in suppression of tumor development.
II. The Anti-Cancer Activity of Phytocannabinoids

Given the ability of endocannabinoids to kill and inhibit cancer cells, it makes sense that phytocannabinoids have similar properties. In fact, there is significantly more research related to the anti-cancer activity of phytocannabinoids than endocannabinoids. Before examining the research, it is important to understand the similarity between both types of cannabinoids.

Endocannabinoids are Omega-3- and Omega-6-derived chemicals that function as neurotransmitters in the brain and throughout the body (Ramsden et al.). They activate CB\textsubscript{1} and CB\textsubscript{2} receptors to exert a variety of biological effects, ultimately working to maintain homeostasis. For example, if neurons are firing off neurotransmitters excessively, endocannabinoids stimulate presynaptic CB\textsubscript{1} receptors to inhibit neurotransmission.

Phytocannabinoids are terpenophenolic compounds produced uniquely in the cannabis plant (Galal). Like endocannabinoids, they also activate CB\textsubscript{1} and CB\textsubscript{2} receptors in vertebrate organisms, as well as specific downstream pathways (Laprairie et al.). There is an especially powerful similarity between anandamide and tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). A 2007 study found that anandamide produced THC-like discriminative and neurochemical effects (Solinas et al., "The Endogenous"). Furthermore, all the major cannabinoids, including cannabidiol (CBD), cannabichromene (CBC), cannabigerol (CBG), cannabinol (CBN), and tetrahydrocannabivarin (THCV), influence endocannabinoid synthesizing and degrading enzymes as well as anandamide cellular uptake (De Petrocellis et al., "Effects"). More research will undoubtedly yield further similarities between phytocannabinoid and endocannabinoid activity.

Brain Cancer

The relationship between cannabis and brain cancer is especially strong. Cannabinoids are known to cross the blood-brain barrier, and have been shown to kill numerous types of brain cancer cells. Their high barrier permeability and strong apoptosis-inducing effects make cannabinoids very promising candidates for the treatment of many brain cancers.

A 1998 study by Dr. Manuel Guzmán in Spain showed how THC induced apoptosis in C6.9 glioma cells (Sánchez et al.). THC caused a dose-dependent drop in mitochondrial oxidative metabolism. It also increased ceramide levels by stimulating the breakdown of sphingomyelin, a ceramide-containing lipid found in cell membranes. Researchers posited that ceramide may be the mediator of THC-induced apoptosis. In addition to C6.9 cells, the astrocytoma cell line U373 MG and the neuroblastoma cell line N\textsubscript{18}TG\textsubscript{2} were also susceptible to THC. Non-transformed astrocytes and neurons were not susceptible, indicating the selective ability of THC to specifically target cancerous cells. The pro-apoptotic effect, at least so far as C6.9 cells, was not mediated by CB\textsubscript{1} receptors.

Another 2004 study from Guzmán's team showed that THC helped prevent the formation of new blood vessels (angiogenesis) to tumors (Blázquez et al., "Cannabinoids Inhibit the Vascular"). Through the use of synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists and antagonists, as well
as anandamide, it was determined the anti-angiogenic effects were conferred through CB$_1$ and CB$_2$ receptors. Cannabinoid-induced ceramide biosynthesis was posited to be integral to the decrease in vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is arguably the most important proangiogenic molecule. The anti-angiogenic effects were seen in C6 glioma cells, U373 MG astrocytoma cells, PDV.C57 skin carcinoma cells, and ECV304 bladder cancer epithelioma cells.

In addition, activation of CB$_2$ receptors with the synthetic cannabinoid JWH-133 altered the expression of 10 genes related to the VEGF pathway. Several cannabinoids also reduced activation of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR-2) without changing total expression levels of the receptor. JWH-133 administration caused a sharp reduction in the size of mouse gliomas, thus confirming the ability of CB$_2$ activation to inhibit angiogenesis in vivo.

To test whether cannabinoid receptor activation had any functional relevance in humans, researchers locally administered THC directly into the tumors of two patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Biopsies were taken before and after the treatment. In both patients, VEGF and total VEGFR-2 levels decreased, despite the latter effect not being observed in the cells. Therefore, this study provides human evidence that a phytocannabinoid could be clinically useful as an anti-angiogenic agent.

A 2008 study showed that THC reduced matrix metalloproteinase-2, a protein associated with the invasion and progression of tumors (Blázquez et al., "Cannabinoids Inhibit Glioma"). In the glioma cell lines C6.9, SW1088, T98G, U87MG, and U118MG cells, THC reduced MMP-2 expression. The reduction of MMP-2 in U87MG cells in particular was mostly mediated by CB$_2$ receptor activation, and was associated with reduced invasion of the cancer cells. Increased ceramide levels and subsequent upregulation of the stress-related protein p8 were integral to the anti-invasive effects of THC.

In mice given subcutaneous gliomas with C6.9 glioma cells, THC decreased tumor growth and MMP-2 expression. However, other MMP family members also associated with glioma invasion were unaffected, and mice with gliomas from cannabinoid-resistant C6.4 cells did not respond to THC treatment (tumor growth and MMP-2 expression were unchanged).

Finally, two human patients with glioblastoma multiforme were administered THC directly into their tumors. Before-and-after biopsies revealed that MMP-2 levels were effectively reduced in both patients.

Like THC, CBD exerts numerous cytotoxic effects on brain cancer cells. A 2004 study from an Italian university explained how CBD reduced viability, inhibited proliferation, and induced apoptosis in the U87 and U373 glioma cell lines (Massi et al.). In a concentration and time-dependent fashion, CBD inhibited mitochondrial oxidative metabolism and caused apoptotic cell death. The effects may have been partially mediated by CB$_2$ receptors, but a curious observation complicated matters. When CB$_2$ receptors alone were blocked with an antagonist, CBD's antiproliferative effects were significantly but not completely reduced. However, when CB$_1$ and TRPV$_1$ receptors were blocked along with CB$_2$ receptors, its effects were not reduced.
Furthermore, even the antagonistic effect of isolated CB$_2$ blockade disappeared after four days. Since inactivation of the cannabinoid receptors with pertussis toxin had no influence on CBD's effects either, it is unlikely that either CB$_1$ or CB$_2$ receptors played a major role in this case. While the study did not conclude which receptor mediated CBD's effects, an increase in oxidative stress was implicated as a mechanism of action.

Researchers also induced U87 tumors in mice to determine the *in vivo* effectiveness of CBD. The graph below illustrates the positive results.

In a 2013 study, researchers explored how CBD affects proliferation, viability, and invasion of U87-MG and T98G glioma cells (Solinas et al., "Cannabidiol"). CBD caused a decrease in invasion from 10% to 90% in a concentration-dependent manner. The amount of CBD required to inhibit invasion was much less than what was required to reduce viability. In U87-MG cells, CBD downregulated six proteins involved in malignancy, motility, invasion, and angiogenesis of tumors (MMP-9, TIMP-1, TIMP-4, uPA, SerpinE1-PAI-1, and VEGF). In T98G cells, CBD downregulated nine proteins (MMP-9, TIMP-4, SerpinE1-PAI-1, VEGF, TGF-β1, CXCL-16, PDGF-AA, MCP-1, and Angiogenin).

CBD also reduced phosphorylation (and thus, activation) of two signaling pathways related to cancer cell survival and proliferation; extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK1/2) and Akt (sometimes known as protein kinase B). These two pathways are known as pro-survival signaling pathways (Vauzour et al.; Benbrook and Masamha), so inhibiting ERK1/2 and Akt in cancer cells has been linked to pro-apoptotic and antiproliferative effects.
ERK is also known as MAPK, a kinase enzyme discussed earlier (specifically, MAPK1 is also known as ERK2 and MAPK3 is also known as ERK1). MAPK activation regulates MMP activation, so CBD's ability to reduce the MAPK/ERK pathway may be responsible for its effects on MMP enzymes. Specifically in the U87-MG cells, CBD inhibited hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1-α), a molecule responsible for inducing cell survival, motility, and angiogenesis under hypoxic (low oxygen) conditions. It was not determined which receptor mediated these effects, although cannabinoid and vanilloid receptors were ruled out.

The researchers also noted that T98G cells have been deemed cannabinoid-resistant due to their insensitivity to THC. However, their work demonstrated the effectiveness of CBD against this cell line.

A brief study in the British Journal of Pharmacology showed that CBD inhibited migration of U87 glioma cells in a concentration-dependent manner (Vaccani et al.). Cannabinoid and vanilloid receptors were not responsible for this anti-metastatic effect.

An April 2015 study in the International Journal of Cancer, conducted by Italian researchers from several universities, illustrated a unique way by which CBD can fight gliomas (Nabissi et al.). The cannabinoid reduced viability, promoted differentiation, and stimulated autophagy in glioma stem-like cells (GSCs). Evidence suggests glioblastoma tumors are composed of both normal tumor cells and smaller amounts of cancer stem cells (Altaner). GSCs are resistant to chemotherapy and radiation, and may be responsible for the aggressive recurrence rate of glioblastomas. Therefore, the ability to inhibit these types of cells is an especially critical attribute of effective brain tumor drugs.

CBD was found to reduce viability in GSC lines #1, #30, and #83. This effect was mediated by TRPV2 receptors, but not CB1, CB2, or TRPV1 receptors. CBD also increased expression of TRPV2 receptors on the GSCs. CBD downregulated phosphorylated Akt; a decrease in the phosphorylated, activated form of Akt is a key signal for autophagy. Activation of autophagy was responsible for the decrease in viability, as well as cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 phase. Interestingly, CBD was also modulated the expression of genes involved in the regulation of autophagy and apoptosis.

Furthermore, autophagy was necessary for the induction of GSC differentiation. As cells become more differentiated, or specialized towards a certain function, they become easier to treat. That is, poorly differentiated cancer cells are harder to treat than well-differentiated cancer cells, at least partially because they have underdeveloped signaling pathways that are targeted by cancer drugs.

After inducing autophagy, CBD caused reductions in the stem cell markers CD133, Oct-4, SSEA-1, and Nestin, as well as increases in the differentiation markers GFAP and beta-III tubulin. These markers reached levels comparable to those seen in differentiated GSCs. CBD also increased expression of Aml-1a, a transcription factor protein that regulates differentiation; indeed, it promoted differentiation and impaired proliferation in GSCs. Furthermore, Aml-1a was shown to bind to TRPV2 gene promoters, which increased levels of TRPV2 receptors.
By stimulating differentiation, CBD increased the sensitivity of GSCs to carmustine, a chemotherapeutic agent. GSCs were resistant to carmustine treatment alone, but when the drug was combined with CBD, a strong increase in apoptotic cells was observed. However, CBD combined with temozolomide, another chemotherapy drug, was not effective.

Although CBD can inhibit the psychoactive effects of THC, both cannabinoids work synergistically (greater than additively) to fight cancer. A January 2010 study from the California Pacific Medical Center Research Institute demonstrated this synergy in the context of glioblastoma (Marcu et al.). The researchers first tested THC and CBD individually against the glioblastoma cell lines SF126, U251, and U87. Both compounds had strong antiproliferative effects in all cell lines, but CBD was substantially stronger. For example, the antiproliferative IC$_{50}$ value of THC in the U87 line was 3.3μmol/L, whereas it was only 0.6μmol/L for CBD. Therefore, it took 5.5 times more THC to inhibit U87 cell proliferation by 50% (compared to controls) than CBD.

In the SF126 and U251 cell lines, THC and CBD acted synergistically to inhibit cell proliferation. No such effect was observed in the U87 line. Synergy was determined using the combination index technique. Below is a graphical representation of THC and CBD effects alone and in combination.

While THC and CBD acted synergistically to inhibit cell growth, they did not work synergistically to inhibit cell invasion. However, both cannabinoids were significant anti-invasive agents and together produced a small additive effect. Both cannabinoids also caused cell cycle arrest, specifically increasing the number of cells in the $G_0/G_1$ phase and decreasing those in S phase. In this case, there was a synergistic effect on cell cycle arrest.
Interestingly, in both U251 and SF126 cells, the combination of THC and CBD caused a substantial reduction in phosphorylated ERK (pERK), but not total ERK. This effect was not seen when either THC or CBD were used alone (although high doses of isolated CBD caused a small reduction in pERK; no effect was seen with high doses of isolated THC), potentially indicating that anticancer synergy was created through the activation of a new biological pathway, rather than increased activation of the pathways THC and CBD individually modified. Given this observation, it makes sense that THC and CBD synergistically increased apoptosis in addition to the other effects. Furthermore, simply increasing the dose of isolated THC treatment was unable to achieve the level of apoptosis seen with combined THC and CBD treatment.

CB₂ activation and an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) were additional mechanisms by which THC and CBD induced apoptosis. Since blocking CB₂ receptors did not affect isolated CBD-induced apoptosis, it is likely that THC was primarily responsible for CB₂-mediated apoptosis. However, blocking ROS production inhibited the apoptosis induced by isolated THC, isolated CBD, and combination treatments. An increase in ROS causes cellular stress and can lead to cell death.

Isolated treatment of U251 cells with THC, as well as combined treatment with THC and CBD, led to increased expression of the stress-associated gene p8. There was not much difference between the isolated and combination treatments, and isolated CBD did not have a statistically significant impact on p8 expression, indicating that pathway is unique to THC.

Isolated THC and CBD treatment also had little to no effect on caspase-3, -7, and -9 activation. However, the combination treatment substantially upregulated the activity of those caspases, as well as increased expression of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP). PARP refers to a number of specific proteins involved in DNA repair and cell death.

In summary, combining THC and CBD led to the unique downregulation of pERK and upregulation of caspase and PARP activity. This is the likely explanation for why combined THC and CBD treatment synergistically decreased proliferation, enhanced cell cycle arrest, and increased apoptosis of glioblastoma cells.

A report from doctors in the Division of Pediatric Neurosurgery at BC Children's Hospital in Canada described septum pellucidum/forniceal pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) tumor remissions in two children (Foroughi et al.). Both children received surgery, yet small residuals were left behind in both cases. In the following three years after surgery, one case was dormant while the other showed a slight increase in size. Over the next three-year period, there was a clear regression of both residual tumors. As the authors state, "Neither patient received any conventional adjuvant treatment. The tumors regressed over the same period of time that cannabis was consumed via inhalation, raising the possibility that the cannabis played a role in the tumor regression."
Breast cancer primarily affects women, but men also have about a .1% chance of developing the disease (U.S. Breast Cancer). Many forms of the disease are highly aggressive, and there is a great need for new treatments.

A 2010 study by Dr. Manuel Guzmán's team illustrated how THC combated a specific form of breast cancer; it also examined the nature of cannabinoid receptor expression in different mammary tissues (Caffarel et al.). Normal, non-transformed tissue had no significant CB₁ or CB₂ expression, while breast cancer cells had low CB₁ expression and high CB₂ expression. ErbB2-positive breast cancer had especially high levels of CB₂ receptors. The ErbB2 tyrosine kinase receptor is a member of the EGF receptor family, and its overexpression in breast cancer cells is associated with very aggressive, highly invasive, highly proliferative, and poorly differentiated cancers.

In mice, THC strongly reduced tumor growth. It also decreased the number of tumors the animals generated throughout treatment. THC-treated animals never developed more than three tumors, whereas 41% of untreated animals developed four or more tumors. The synthetic CB₂ agonist JWH-133 was also effective.

THC affected cancer growth through numerous mechanisms. It induced apoptosis, inhibited angiogenesis, reduced proliferative potential, and decreased lung metastases. A reduction in MMP-2 was associated with the anti-angiogenic action.

Using the N202.1A cell line, the researchers determined THC exerted its effects via CB₂ receptor activation. The cannabinoid diminished levels of phosphorylated S6 ribosomal protein, which is associated with activation of the Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway.
Therefore, THC exerted its effects at least in part through downregulation of Akt/mTOR. The mTOR protein is a kinase that regulates many aspects of cell growth, proliferation, and survival. Indeed, N202.1A proliferation was reduced with THC and JWH-133 administration.

A 2011 study in *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* described several mechanisms by which CBD reduced viability and caused apoptosis in breast cancer cells (Shrivastava et al.). Both estrogen receptor-positive (MCF-7 and ZR-75-1) and estrogen receptor-negative (MDA-MB-231 and SK-BR-3) breast cancer cells were susceptible to CBD.
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Autophagy, a catabolic process in which lysosomes break down cellular components, often precedes or accompanies apoptosis. Depending on the setting, autophagy can protect from apoptosis, act as an alternative pathway to cell death, or act with apoptosis as a combined mechanism for cell death. Indeed, both autophagy and apoptosis increased in a concentration-dependent manner. This effect was mediated through the induction of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, independently of CB$_1$/CB$_2$ and TRPV$_1$ receptors. ER stress was quantified by increased phosphorylation of EIF2α kinases, which are activated in response to numerous kinds of stress stimuli.

CBD also decreased phosphorylated Akt, thus downregulating its signaling. The reduction in Akt precedes autophagy and apoptosis, a logical phenomenon given its role as a survival molecule. Other components of the Akt pathway, including mTOR and 4EBP1, had decreased phosphorylation. The proto-oncogenic (a gene with the potential to cause cancer) protein cyclin D1 was also reduced in a concentration-dependent manner.
CBD increased the cleavage of procaspases -3, -7, and -9 into their smaller, active forms; caspases -3, -7, and -9. It also activated capsase-8, which cleaves cytosolic (found in the cytosol) Bid. Bid is a member of the Bcl-2 protein family, which regulates mitochondrial homeostasis during apoptosis (Lutter, Perkins, and Wang). Cytosolic Bid is cleaved by caspase-8 into its active form, truncated Bid (tBid), where it moves to the mitochondria and increases mitochondrial membrane permeability (a phenomenon also associated with reductions in mitochondrial membrane potential). This leads to the release of cytochrome c, which can promote cell death through further caspase activation. Bax, another member of the Bcl-2 family, was also increased by CBD and contributed to the enhanced mitochondrial membrane permeabilization.

Additionally, Fas-ligand (Fas-L) expression increased; the activation of the cell death receptor Fas by Fas-L leads to caspase-mediated apoptotic cell death (Waring and Müllbacher). Therefore, CBD was posited to initiate mitochondria-mediated apoptosis through both internal (tBid translocation) and external (Fas-L) pathways. CBD also induced cleavage and translocation of beclin-1, an autophagy-regulating protein, to the mitochondria, which yet further enhanced cytochrome c release and apoptosis.

CBD increased ROS generation in the breast cancer cells, an effect which was integral to subsequent autophagy and apoptosis. This step seems to be fairly upstream in the CBD-induced apoptotic pathway, although the exact point of ROS generation was unclear.

Research from the California Pacific Medical Center in California, led by Dr. Sean McAllister, illuminated an incredible method by which CBD reduces breast cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis (McAllister et al.). The cannabinoid can reduce expression of the Id-1 gene, which codes for the Id-1 protein. This protein enhances proliferation and invasion of breast cancer cells, as well as other types of cancer.

Three days of CBD treatment of human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells led to almost complete abolishment of Id-1 expression. Interestingly, CBD also increased expression of the Id-2 gene, a pro-differentiation factor associated with a good prognosis in breast cancer patients. Cancer cells that are more differentiated and closer in structure to normal cells generally grow slower and are less aggressive than poorly differentiated, highly abnormal cells. Decreasing Id-1 and increasing Id-2 expression could be a potent mechanism behind CBD's anticancer effects.

Also of interest, and in contrast to previous studies showing decreased ERK activation after CBD treatment, this study showed CBD-induced downregulation of Id-1 was dependent on upregulation of ERK activity. It was noted that sustained upregulation of ERK leads to inhibition of cell growth, whereas short-term upregulation leads to cell growth.

An increase in ROS was also shown to play a key role in Id-1 downregulation. Co-activation of the independent ERK and ROS pathways seemed to converge and lead to greater Id-1 downregulation.

The researchers used mouse metastatic breast cancer 4T1 cells to determine the effects of CBD on the cell cycle. In both 4T1 and MDA-MB-231 cells, cell cycle arrest was observed;
specifically, there was an increase of cells in the G₀/G₁ phase and a decrease of those in the S phase. Downregulation of Id-1 in 4T1 cells inhibited cell invasiveness.

Using an in vivo model, it was shown that CBD significantly reduced primary tumor growth, the number of lung metastases, and the volume of metastases, in a largely dose-dependent manner. The primary tumor developed resistance to the inhibitory properties of CBD by Day 25 of treatment. Although not discussed in the study, such resistance can likely be overcome by using different ratios of THC and CBD, as well as incorporating other cannabinoids and terpenoids with anticancer activity.

Cervical Cancer

Cervical cancer is one of the most common cancers affecting women. Interestingly, the first cell line ever isolated was from a woman with cervical cancer named Henrietta Lacks in 1951 (Freeman). The line, known as HeLa, went on to become the most commonly used cell line in the world. A 2010 study by German researchers used the HeLa and C33A cervical cancer lines to examine the anticancer impact of CBD (Ramer et al., "Cannabidiol"). At remarkably low concentrations, CBD was able to inhibit invasion of HeLa cells. Under one of the experimental
conditions in which smaller numbers of cells were used (2.5 x 10^5 cells per well vs. 5 x 10^5 cells per well), CBD also significantly reduced cell viability.

The anti-invasive action was caused by the CBD-induced increase of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 (TIMP-1). TIMP-1 is a protein involved in the regulation of cell growth and apoptosis (Egea et al.). The increase in TIMP-1, and the subsequent decrease in invasion, was dependent on activation/phosphorylation of p38 and p42/44 MAPKs. These effects were mediated by CB₁, CB₂, and TRPV₁ receptors.

Researchers used A549 lung cancer cells along with the C33A cervical cancer line to test whether the observed effects were not confined to HeLa cells. Indeed, in both A549 and C33A cells, CBD exhibited an anti-invasive effect that was dependent on p38 and p42/44 MAPK activation and TIMP-1 expression. Under the experimental condition using 2.5 x 10^5 cells per well, CBD significantly reduced viability of both types of cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seeded cell number</th>
<th>Cellular viability (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HeLa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 x 10^5</td>
<td>100 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 x 10^5</td>
<td>100 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 x 10^5</td>
<td>100 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 x 10^5</td>
<td>100 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 x 10^5</td>
<td>100 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another study by the same lead author indicated the potential of THC to fight cervical cancer (Ramer and Hinz). THC and an anandamide analog, methanandamide (MA), diminished invasion of HeLa cells in a concentration-dependent manner. The compounds also exhibited progressively greater cytotoxic effects as lower cell densities were used in viability assays, as similarly observed in the above study.

The same pathway identified in the above study applied in this case. THC and MA administration led to increased activation of p38 and p42/44 MAPKs, which caused an increase in TIMP-1 levels. These effects were dependent on CB₁ and CB₂ receptors; in the case of MA, TRPV₁ receptors were also involved. Lower MMP-2 levels were also observed, although curiously, these cannabinoid-induced effects were not dependent on CB₁, CB₂, or TRPV₁ receptors.

In addition to the HeLa cell line, THC and MA exerted anti-invasive effects against A549 and C33A cells through the same mechanisms.

**Cholangiocarcinoma**

Cholangiocarcinoma, or bile duct cancer, is an aggressive cancer characterized by rapid growth and metastasis. It is often diagnosed at an advanced stage, and new treatments are urgently required. A 2010 study published in *Cancer Investigation* by Thailand researchers indicated the potential of THC to act as a treatment for this type of cancer (Leelawat et al.).

It was first demonstrated that the human cholangiocarcinoma cell lines RMCCA1 and HuCCA1 expressed both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors. The levels of CB₁ were higher in the cancerous tissue compared to normal tissue. CB₂ levels were relatively similar, with apparent evidence of some upregulation in cancerous tissue.

At low concentrations of 5-20μM (roughly equivalent to a few milligrams), THC had no significant effect on cholangiocarcinoma cell proliferation. However, the 20μM concentration substantially inhibited migration and invasion. Within the 40-100μM (100μM is about 31 milligrams) concentration range, THC inhibited cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner. At these concentrations, it also induced apoptosis in both cell lines.
Actin is a highly abundant structural protein found in virtually all eukaryotic cells ("Actin"). Actin polymerization is the process by which the monomeric units of actin combine to form actin filaments. Together with motor proteins, these filaments create actin cytoskeletons, which are involved in many cellular functions like cell motility and mechanical signal transduction. Actin is also involved in the generation of pseudopodia, which are protrusive structures coming out of the cell membrane that help with locomotion. The low dose range (5-20μM) of THC effectively decreased actin polymerization and psuedopodia formation. These phenomena explain, at least in part, the anti-invasive effect of THC.

THC also apparently inhibited cell resistance to anoiks, a form of apoptosis that occurs when cells do not receive survival signals from the extracellular matrix. The abrogation of this resistance may stem from THC's ability to reduce phosphorylation of Akt and mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1/2 (MEK1/2). MEK1/2 activates MAPK molecules, so reducing phosphorylation of MEK1/2 ultimately inhibits the MAPK survival pathway.

Colon Cancer

Colon cancer is one of the top causes of cancer-related deaths. While it can often be eliminated when caught early, advanced colon cancer has been virtually incurable. Cannabinoids show great promise in treating this disease.

A November 2011 study in *Anticancer Research* determined that CBD induced apoptosis and inhibited proliferation of SW480 colon cancer cells (Sreevalsan et al.). This was accomplished through CBD-induced phosphatase expression, specifically the molecules *DUSP1*, *DUSP10*, serum ACPP, cellular ACPP and PTPN6. These phosphatases inhibit kinase activation by removing their phosphate groups. While the researchers did not determine which kinases the phosphatases interacted with, previous studies have shown induction of *DUSP1* by cannabinoids resulted in MAPK inactivation. Therefore, it is possible that CBD ultimately induced apoptosis through inhibition of the MAPK pathway. While this is not certain, the researchers conclusively
demonstrated that CBD-induced apoptosis was phosphatase-dependent, as blocking phosphatase enzymes reduced apoptosis.

The study also used the synthetic CB₁ agonist WIN 55,212-2, which induced apoptosis through similar phosphatase-dependent mechanisms. A very surprising observation was made when determining the role of cannabinoid receptors. Blocking either CB₁ or CB₂ receptors inhibited CBD-induced apoptosis, but such blockade had no effect on WIN-induced apoptosis. Due to these results, the researchers concluded CBD's effects were cannabinoid receptor-dependent and WIN's effects were receptor-independent. This is interesting, as CBD does not normally directly activate CB₁ or CB₂ receptors, and may even antagonize them (De Mello Schier et al.; Morgan et al.). However, some evidence suggests CBD acts as an inverse agonist at both cannabinoid receptors (Pertwee). CBD may indirectly activate cannabinoid receptors by increasing endocannabinoid activity, as discussed further below.

A 2012 study from the Endocannabinoid Research Group in Italy used CBD and the carcinogenic agent azoxymethane (AOM) to evaluate the chemoprotective (ability to reduce risk of cancer) potential of the cannabinoid (Aviello et al.). As expected, AOM administration in mice caused preneoplastic lesions (known as aberrant crypt foci [ACF]), polyps, and tumor formation, and was associated with upregulation of phosphorylated Akt, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS, an enzyme sometimes associated with tumor development), and COX-2 (an inflammatory enzyme). Downregulation of caspase-3 also occurred. CBD reduced ACF (67% inhibition), polyps (57% inhibition), and tumor formation (66% inhibition) at least partially by countering the AOM-induced Akt and caspase-3 changes, although the cannabinoid had no effect on COX-2 or iNOS. Therefore, the in vivo reduction in tumors was likely driven by reduced Akt phosphorylation and restoration of caspase-3 expression. Graphical representation of the results is found below. As shown, the lower dose of CBD (1mg/kg vs. 5mg/kg) was more effective or generally as effective as the higher dose.
Using human Caco-2 and HCT116 cell lines, the researchers determined that CBD could not reduce cell viability at concentrations between 0.01µM and 10µM. However, CBD exerted strong antiproliferative effects at the same concentrations. In the Caco-2 cells, CBD mediated its effects through CB₁, TRPV₁, and PPAR-γ receptors, but not CB₂ receptors. Reduced expression of phosphorylated Akt was observed, along with increased levels of 2-AG. Anandamide levels were also higher, although this observation was not statistically significant. This phenomenon could explain the CB₁ and CB₂-dependent nature of many of CBD's effects, as the cannabinoid may indirectly activate the receptors by increasing endocannabinoids.

A later 2014 study from the same research group showed how whole-plant CBD-rich cannabis extract, known as CBD botanical drug substance (CBD BDS), along with pure CBD, reduced proliferation of human DLD-1 and HCT116 colon cancer cells (Romano et al.). Both CBD BDS and pure CBD inhibited proliferation, but not viability, of these cells. Healthy cells were unaffected by either type of CBD treatment. The potency and efficacy of either treatment was identical. The researchers also determined CBD BDS had greater affinity for CB₁ and CB₂ receptors than pure CBD, and the CBD BDS inhibited proliferation via activation of both cannabinoid receptors. On the contrary, pure CBD inhibited proliferation via CB₁, but not CB₂ receptors. It is very likely that the presence of other cannabinoids and terpenoids influences the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of CBD.

In addition to the cell studies, the effects of CBD BDS in two in vivo models were examined. Using AOM, preneoplastic lesions (ACF), polyps, and tumors were induced in mice. CBD BDS at 5mg/kg significantly reduced ACF formation by 86%, polyps by 79%, and tumors by 40% (although statistical significance was not fully achieved in the last measure). In a xenograft model, the substance also slowed tumor growth. However, by Day 7 of treatment there
was no difference between the control and CBD BDS groups. The possibility that tumors can develop resistance even to complex cannabis formulations must be considered, and in practice, there may be a need to modify cannabis extract treatments if resistance is observed.

Although THC and CBD have gotten all the attention so far, cannabigerol (CBG) is another cannabinoid with potent anticancer activity. In fact, CBG is the parent cannabinoid from which all other cannabinoids are derived. It is a weak partial agonist of CB₁ and CB₂ receptors, a potent TRPA₁ agonist, a weak TRPV₁ and TRPV₂ agonist, and a potent TRPM₈ and 5-HT₁A antagonist. In short, the receptor interactions of CBG are quite complex.

A 2014 study in *Carcinogenesis* showed that CBG reduced viability in Caco-2 and HCT116 cells in a concentration and time-dependent manner (Borrelli et al.). At the concentration used to reduce viability by 50% in the cancer cells, CBG did not affect viability of healthy cells, although at exceptionally high levels it did exhibit a cytotoxic effect.

CBD and cannabidivarin (CBDV), which are also TRPM₈ antagonists, inhibited Caco-2 cell viability in a concentration-dependent manner. Cannabichromene (CBC), which does not have activity at TRPM₈, also inhibited cell growth but to a lesser degree than the other cannabinoids. Indeed, TRPM₈ antagonism was determined to be integral to the anticancer effect of CBG. Blocking CB₁ receptors had no effect on the action of CBG; interestingly, blocking CB₂ receptors enhanced CBG's inhibitory power. Other TRP channels besides TRPM₈ were not involved.

In addition to reducing viability, CBG was a potent inducer of apoptosis in Caco-2 cells. It dramatically increased expression of CCAAT/Enhancer-binding protein homologous protein (CHOP), which activates the apoptosis process. This effect was dependent on TRPM₈ antagonism. CBG also significantly increased ROS production, which contributed to its proapoptotic ability.
Using mice, researchers found that CBG inhibited tumor growth by 45.3%. The differences in tumor volumes between the control and treated mice became statistically significant at day 3 of treatment; statistical significance was sustained until the end of the experiment. Therefore, the tumors did not develop resistance to CBG.

As in the previous studies, AOM was used to induce ACF, polyps, and tumors in mice. At 5mg/kg, CBG completely suppressed the formation of ACF and reduced tumors by half. However, it had no significant effect on polyp formation.

**Kaposi's Sarcoma**

Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS) is a cancer characterized by the growth of abnormal tissue under the skin or in the lining of the mouse, nose, or throat (“Kaposi's Sarcoma”). It can also appear in other organs. KS occurs frequently in HIV/AIDS patients due to their weakened immune systems, where it develops very quickly. When KS occurs in otherwise healthy people, it usually progresses more slowly. KS is caused by Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV). KSHV-infected endothelial cells are commonly used to conduct *in vitro* experiments for KS research.

A 2012 study in *Genes & Cancer* explored the effects of CBD on KS (Maor et al.). First, it was shown that CBD had no effect on the infection of primary human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (HMVECs) by KSHV. However, CBD exerted a strong antiproliferative effect against KSHV-infected HMVECs. CBD also reduced proliferation of normal HMVECs, but to a much lesser extent, indicating a predominantly selective mechanism of action against infected cells. This selectivity was further observed in CBD-induced apoptosis; while CBD caused apoptosis in all cells, the effect was more pronounced in KSHV-infected HMVECs, especially at lower concentrations. However, at higher concentrations, apoptosis rates were similar among the healthy and infected cells.

KSHV vGPCR is a unique type of G protein-coupled receptor that promotes KS tumorigenesis by immortalizing endothelial cells and enhancing proliferation. In a dose-dependent fashion, CBD inhibited production of this receptor. It also reduced GRO-α, a protein associated with enhancement of vGPCR activity. CBD had no effect on IL-8, another enhancement-related protein.

CBD significantly reduced levels of VEGFR-3, which promotes KSHV-induced infection, growth, and transformation of endothelial cells. Furthermore, CBD dose-dependently decreased levels of VEGF-C, a ligand of VEGFR-3, suggesting the compound comprehensively inhibits signaling of this pathway to impair proliferation and induce apoptosis in KS cells.
Leukemia & Lymphoma

There are several manifestations of leukemia, but most start in blood-forming tissue like bone marrow ("Leukemia"). The cancer is associated with a significant accumulation of immature white blood cells in the blood. Lymphoma is a similar cancer that affects white blood cells; notably, it also involves the lymph nodes, and thus is a cancer of the lymph system ("Lymphoma"). Hodgkin lymphoma starts directly in the lymph nodes, but other forms like non-Hodgkin lymphoma can originate in white blood cells (specifically T or B cells).

A 2010 study conducted at St. Bartholomew’s Hospital in London indicated the potential of THC to inhibit numerous types of leukemia cells (Powles et al.). THC reduced the viability of acute lymphoblastic leukemia CEM, acute promyelocytic leukemia HL60, and erythroleukemia HEL-92 cells. The latter cells were more resistant to THC than the former two, but still susceptible. THC also induced apoptosis in these cell lines, as well as normal peripheral blood mononuclear cells, suggesting non-selective action. However, the authors posited this was potentially tissue-specific, as selectivity was observed in neuronal cells. The cytotoxic effects of THC were not mediated by CB1 or CB2 receptors. THC also worked additively, but not synergistically, with the chemotherapeutic agent cisplatin to reduce cell viability.

THC altered the expression of genes influencing the MAPK pathway, ultimately affecting levels of MAPK phosphatase 3 (MKP3) and mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 2 (MEK2). MEK2 phosphorylates ERK2/MAPK1, whereas MKP3 dephosphorylates ERK2/MAPK1; therefore, the former has an activating role and the latter has an inactivating role. THC significantly suppressed the MAPK pathway by increasing MKP3 and decreasing MEK2, contributing to inactivation of ERK2/MAPK1 through multiple mechanisms. Not surprisingly, THC also decreased phosphorylated ERK expression. These effects underlie at least a significant part of THC’s viability-reducing ability. Researchers stated THC was "exceptionally efficacious" at inducing cell death.

A 2006 study carried out by the University of South Carolina School of Medicine demonstrated how CBD reduced viability and induced apoptosis in both EL-4 murine lymphoma and human Jurkat and MOLT-4 leukemia cell lines (McKallip et al.). The anticancer effects described below were dependent on CB2 receptor activation, but CB1 and TRPV1 receptors were not involved.

CBD impaired cell viability through a wide variety of processes. It increased cleavage of caspase-8 and procaspase-2, -9, and -10 into their smaller forms; these steps initiate the apoptotic caspase cascade. CBD-induced cleavage of Bid also occurred. As discussed in the breast cancer section, cytosolic Bid is cleaved into tBid, where it transfers to the mitochondria, reduces mitochondrial membrane potential, and facilitates the release of cytochrome c into the cytosol, promoting apoptosis.

CBD increased expression of two specific subtypes of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-oxidases (NADPH oxidases), the Nox4 and p22phox enzymes. NADPH oxidases produce superoxide and other ROS molecules (Bedard and Krause). One of the primary purposes
of ROS production is defense against invading bacteria, as oxidant molecules are capable of killing organisms. NADPH oxidases are also involved in cellular signaling, regulation of gene expression, and posttranslational protein processing. By increasing Nox4 and p22phox, CBD stimulated ROS generation. The increase in ROS led to a reduction in phosphorylated p38 MAPK levels, which contributed at least in part to CBD-induced apoptosis. Levels of phosphorylated ERK and phosphorylated JNK were unaffected.

CBD also reduced the size of EL-4 derived tumors in mice.

A 2006 study conducted by researchers at Virginia Commonwealth University described how THC dose-dependently induced apoptosis in Jurkat leukemia cells (Jia et al.). The effects were mediated by both CB1 and CB2 receptors. Interestingly, Jurkat cells naturally express significant levels of CB2 and low levels of CB1, which would seemingly preclude involvement of CB1 receptors. However, THC significantly increased the expression of both types of cannabinoid receptors, a phenomenon which apparently enhanced the cannabinoid’s anticancer properties.

Suppression of the Raf-1/MEK/ERK cytoprotective signaling pathway was the chief mechanism promoting THC-induced apoptosis. First, THC reduced phosphorylation of the Raf-1 protein. The phosphorylation of Raf-1 activates a MAPK cascade, beginning with the phosphorylation of MAP2K1/MEK1 and MAP2K2/MEK2 and subsequently MAPK3/ERK1 and MAPK1/ERK2 ("RAF1"). Indeed, all of these phosphorylated proteins were diminished by THC treatment, although there were no effects on their total levels. Another protein involved in the pathway, p90RSK, also showed reduced phosphorylation. Furthermore, inhibition of ERK1/2 was observed in MOLT-4 leukemia cells, SupT1 lymphoma cells, and Hut78 Sezary Syndrome (an aggressive T-cell lymphoma).

The suppression of the ERK signaling cascade caused dephosphorylation of the protein Bad, which translocated to the mitochondria and interfered with the survival function of the proteins Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL. In contrast with previously described molecules, dephosphorylation activates Bad while phosphorylation deactivates it. In its latter form, Bad is sequestered in the cytosol, and when dephosphorylated undergoes translocation. Specifically, p90RSK catalyzes the phosphorylation of Bad, an effect which largely underlies p90RSK’s prosurvival role.
Researchers confirmed that THC-induced inactivation of ERK and activation of Bad played an integral role in apoptosis.

In summary, the process can be described as:

CB$_1$ and CB$_2$ receptor activation → Decreased sequential phosphorylation of Raf-1, MEK1/MEK2, ERK1/ERK2, and p90RSK → Dephosphorylation of Bad and subsequent translocation from cytosol to mitochondria → interference with Bcl-2 and Bcl-X$_L$ survival proteins, leading to cell death.

Another study in *Experimental Cell Research* conducted by Dr. Manuel Guzmán further demonstrated how THC induces apoptosis in Jurkat cells (Herrera et al.). The cannabinoid increased ceramide levels via CB$_2$ receptor activation. The ceramide then reduced mitochondrial membrane potential, causing release of cytochrome c. Subsequently, there was an increase in caspase-3, -7, and -8 activities. Specifically, cytochrome c led to the activation of caspase-3, which then activated caspase-8, ultimately inducing apoptosis. A feedback loop may exist, given that caspase-8 can also enhance mitochondrial membrane permeabilization through its interaction with Bid.

A 2013 study by Dr. Wai Liu and other researchers at the University of London illuminated the synergistic anticancer properties of numerous nonpsychotropic cannabinoids, including CBD, CBG, cannabigevarin (CBGV), and their respective acid forms, being cannabidiolic acid (CBDA), cannabigerolic acid (CBGA), and cannabigevaric acid (CBGVA) (Scott et al.). In CEM and HL60 leukemia cells, all the cannabinoids were able to arrest cell cycle progression at all phases of the cell cycle (global arrest), leading to reduced cell numbers. CBD induced apoptosis at higher doses.

The cannabinoids generally increased ERK in both cell lines. They also substantially increased p21$^{WAFI}$, a kinase inhibitor that is intimately involved in cell cycle modulation, including arrest, as well as the regulation of cell growth and death.

CBD was combined one at a time with the other cannabinoids to test for synergistic or antagonistic relationships. All combinations tested were either additive or mildly synergistic in nature. The neutral cannabinoids were all more effective than their acidic counterparts, and of those, CBD and CBG were the strongest.

An interesting observation was the benefit of a "recovery phase" for increasing cytotoxicity. The researchers observed that prolonged cannabinoid treatment had an extended cytostatic effect rather than cytotoxic. However, by stopping cannabinoid treatment after several days of administration, the cell cycle resumes and the cells die.

In light of the above scientific studies, it is not surprising that a formal case study reported human anticancer effects. A 2013 article in *Case Reports in Oncology* described the experience of a 14-year-old female patient who had a very aggressive form of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (positive for the Philadelphia chromosome mutation) (Singh and Bali).
Prior to undergoing cannabis extract therapy, the patient received 34 months of chemotherapy and radiation. This treatment protocol failed to stop the cancer, and the patient was placed in palliative home care. The family decided to use cannabis oil as a last resort after conducting research indicating potential effectiveness.

The first dose of cannabis oil was given on February 21, 2009. Prior to this, from February 4th to the 20th, the patient’s leukemic blast cell count rose from 51,490 to 194,000. Even after beginning the oil, the count continued to rise, peaking at 374,000 on February 25th. However, there was subsequently a sharp decrease in blast count, which correlated with an increase in cannabis dose. By Day 39, the blast count had dropped to 300. The total treatment lasted 78 days, at which point the leukemic blast cells were almost completely gone. Unfortunately, the patient passed away due to a bowel perforation, which apparently was caused by the side effects of the prior intense chemotherapy treatments.

![Hemp Oil Treatment response over 78 day duration](image)

**Fig. 6.** Response to hemp oil treatment over 78 days.

(Note: Hemp oil means cannabis oil, not hemp seed oil)

Given the remarkably similar observations between these doctors and individuals treating themselves with cannabis medicine, it is important to convey their final conclusions:

"The results shown here cannot be attributed to the phenomenon of ‘spontaneous remission’ because a dose response curve was achieved. Three factors, namely frequency of dosing, amount given (therapeutic dosing) and the potency of the cannabis strains, were critical in determining response and disease control. By viewing figure 6, it can be seen that introducing strains that were less potent, dosing at intervals >8 h and suboptimal therapeutic dosing consistently showed
increases in the leukemic blast cell count. It could not be determined which cannabinoid profiles constituted a ‘potent’ cannabis strain because the resin was not analyzed. Research is needed to determine the profile and ratios of cannabinoids within the strains that exhibit antileukemic properties.

These results cannot be explained by any other therapies, as the child was under palliative care and was solely on cannabinoid treatment when the response was documented by the SickKids Hospital. The toxicology reports ruled out chemotherapeutic agents, and only showed her to be positive for THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) when she had ‘a recent massive decrease of WBC from 350,000 to 0.3’ inducing tumor lysis syndrome, as reported by the primary hematologist/oncologist at the SickKids Hospital.

This therapy has to be viewed as polytherapy, as many cannabinoids within the resinous extract have demonstrated targeted, antiproliferative, proapoptotic and antiangiogenic properties. This also needs to be explored further, as there is potential that cannabinoids might show selectivity when attacking cancer cells, thereby reducing the widespread cytotoxic effects of conventional chemotherapeutic agents. It must be noted that where our most advanced chemotherapeutic agents had failed to control the blast counts and had devastating side effects that ultimately resulted in the death of the patient, the cannabinoid therapy had no toxic side effects and only psychosomatic properties, with an increase in the patient's vitality.

Liver Cancer

Liver cancer can be especially debilitating and usually results from scarring (cirrhosis) of the liver. The most common form is hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) ("Liver Cancer"). HCC is the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.

THC is a potent inducer of apoptosis in the human HCC cell lines HepG2 and HuH-7 (Vara et al., "Anti-Tumoral"). The effect was mediated by CB2, but not CB1, receptors. THC increased the lipidated form of microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3α (LC3), an autophagy-related molecule which attaches to autophagosomes after autophagy becomes stimulated. Autophagosomes are organelles that absorb cellular debris or components ("Lippincott-Schwartz"). They transfer these components to lysosomes via fusion, where they are digested. By influencing this process, THC contributed to dynamic autophagy in both cell lines. It was shown that autophagy induction by cannabinoids occurred before apoptosis and was necessary for full cell death to occur. One of the pathways leading to autophagy was dependent on endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. The first step appeared to be an increase in ceramide biosynthesis, which is associated with ER stress. THC increased phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2α), a protein involved in the ER stress response. It also upregulated the pseudokinase tribbles homolog 3 (TRB3), which inhibits the Akt/mTORC1 pathway (mTOR exists in two complexes, C1 and C2; C1 was overtly implicated in this study). Indeed, in addition to enhancing eIF2α and TRB3, activity of the Akt/mTORC1 pathway was
inhibited. Specifically, there was decreased phosphorylation of Akt. Other proteins involved in the pathway, including p70S6 kinase and ribosomal protein S6, also exhibited decreased phosphorylation.

Furthermore, there was increased phosphorylation of adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK). This kinase is an important intracellular nutrient status sensor and key regulator of autophagy. Its enhanced activation contributed to cannabinoid-induced autophagy and apoptosis; in fact, AMPK contributed heavily to LC3 lipidation (the attachment of LC3 to autophagosomes that stimulates autophagy).

THC appeared to activate AMPK by stimulating activity of calmodulin-activated kinase kinase (CaMKK), which is one of the chief enzymes that phosphorylates AMPK. The other major kinase involved in AMPK phosphorylation, human tumor suppressor liver kinase B1 (LKB1), was not involved.

As demonstrated through pharmacological and genetic blockade experiments, it was shown that inhibition of the Akt/mTORC1 pathway by TRB3 upregulation and stimulation of AMPK occurred through independent mechanisms, although they both contributed to LC3 lipidation and autophagy.

To demonstrate effectiveness in vivo, mice were treated daily with 15mg/kg of THC for 15 days. The cannabinoid "almost totally blocked the growth of HepG2 cell-derived tumors." Similar effects were seen in HuH-7 tumors. Increased AMPK phosphorylation and reduced Akt phosphorylation were also observed, indicating the observed cell-level effects transferred to animals.
A later study by most of the same researchers further illuminated the role of the PPAR-\(\gamma\) receptor in THC-induced apoptosis of HepG2 and HuH-7 cells (Vara et al., "Involvement"). PPAR-\(\gamma\) is a receptor found inside cells that helps regulate lipid metabolism and insulin sensitization. Its activation is associated with growth inhibition and apoptosis of numerous tumor cells (Krishnan, Nair, and Pillai).

THC increased PPAR-\(\gamma\) mRNA and protein expression in an AMPK-independent manner, suggesting that AMPK and PPAR-\(\gamma\) are independent pathways activated by cannabinoids. However, TRB3 was found to be integral to the expression of PPAR-\(\gamma\) in both control and cannabinoid-treated cells, indicating TRB3’s fundamental importance to PPAR-\(\gamma\). Although the interaction between TRB3, autophagosomes, and PPAR-\(\gamma\) is complex, it was concluded that cannabinoids induce autophagy through PPAR-\(\gamma\) activation. The receptor also played a role in cannabinoid-induced apoptosis, contributing to the cleavage of procaspase-3 into caspase-3. While THC is known to directly activate PPAR-\(\gamma\) (O’Sullivan), researchers posited that in this case, the activation was indirect.

Through an experiment with mice, PPAR-\(\gamma\) activation was shown to be critical to the \textit{in vivo} anticancer effect of THC.

\textit{Lung Cancer}

The earliest study examining the anticancer potential of cannabis was related to lung cancer. Carried out in 1974 at the University of Virginia, the research showed that THC and cannabiol (CBN), as well as the THC analog delta-8-THC (the primary form is delta-9), inhibited tumor growth and reduced primary tumor size in mice (Munson et al.). Specifically, THC was associated with dose-dependent reduction of tumor growth, whereas delta-8-THC and CBN reduced primary tumor size. All three cannabinoids increased average survival time. Interestingly, CBD had no effect on tumor growth or survival.

A 2008 study in \textit{Oncogene} conducted by researchers at Harvard Medical School further described how THC fights lung cancer (Preet, Ganju, and Groopman). Human non-small cell lung cancer cell lines A549 and SW-1573, which express CB\(_1\) and CB\(_2\) receptors, were employed for the research. THC significantly reduced EGF-stimulated cell migration and invasion in both cell lines. THC also inhibited proliferation and induced apoptosis, although this effect took longer to occur.

EGFR activation by EGF causes a series of events associated with cell proliferation, including MAPK stimulation. THC inhibited EGF-induced ERK1/2 (p44/p42), JNK1/2, and Akt phosphorylation. There was also a reduction in VEGF, which is associated with angiogenesis. However, phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) was increased. Under different circumstances, FAK phosphorylation can contribute to or reduce the migratory potential of cancer cells. Of interest, FAK phosphorylation was inhibited in the animal studies, rather than enhanced as in the cell studies.
In mice, animals treated daily with 5mg/kg for 28 days had significantly reduced surface lung metastases, as well as ~50% reductions in lung weight and ~60% reductions in lesion number. A similar protocol in a different in vivo model, although lasting for only 21 days, showed that THC inhibited tumor growth by ~60%. The cannabinoid achieved this at least partially by inhibiting proliferation and angiogenesis. Furthermore, FAK, ERK1/2, and Akt phosphorylation were reduced; total levels of these proteins remained constant.

A 2013 study in *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* from the University of Rostock in Germany illuminated the roles of COX-2 and the PPAR-γ receptor in CBD-induced apoptosis of human A549 and H460 lung cancer cells (Ramer et al., "COX-2"). CBD increased COX-2 and PPAR-γ mRNA and protein expression in a CB1/CB2- and TRPV1-independent manner. COX-2 is an enzyme involved in inflammation; specifically, it synthesizes pro-inflammatory lipids such as prostaglandins. Both COX-2 activity and PPAR-γ activation were integral to CBD's anticancer effects.

The COX-2 upregulation led to the subsequent increases in prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), prostaglandin D2 (PGD2), and 15-Deoxy-Delta-12,14-prostaglandin J2 (15d-PGJ2). Of these, PGD2 and 15d-PGJ2 induced apoptosis in both cell lines through PPAR-γ activation. Therefore, CBD may have indirectly killed the cells by increasing COX-2 expression, which enabled the enzyme to synthesize more prostaglandin molecules. In addition, by increasing PPAR-γ expression, CBD appeared to make the cancer cells more susceptible to the cytotoxic effects of the prostaglandins.

In an animal model, CBD significantly reduced tumor volume. In tumor samples, COX-2 and PPAR-γ mRNA and protein expression were elevated. However, since higher COX-2 levels are associated with angiogenesis, researchers checked for the appearance of vascularization
markers. Such markers were found to be reduced rather than increased, indicating a potential anti-angiogenic role of CBD as well.

The graph below illustrates results from the animal study. Interestingly, the PPAR-γ antagonist used in the study reduced the effect of CBD when applied concurrently, but it had a virtually identical anticancer effect when used alone. This phenomenon potentially indicates that either PPAR-γ activation or antagonism can have anticancer effects.

![Graph illustrating results from the animal study.](image)

A 2014 study from the University of Rostock in Germany described how cannabinoids work with the body's immune system to fight lung cancer (Haustein et al.). First, CBD was shown to induce expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) in A549 and H460 lung cancer cells, as well as in metastatic cells derived from a lung cancer patient. ICAM-1 is expressed by white blood cells and participates in T-cell mediated host defense (Van de Stolpe and Van der Saag).

By increasing ICAM-1, CBD enhanced the adhesion of cancer cells to lymphokine activated killer (LAK) cells. LAK cells fall into a special category of immune system-based natural killer (NK) cells that are activated by the cytokine interleukin-2 (Fagan and Eddleston). They are capable of lysing (digesting and destroying) tumor cells that regular NK cells are ineffective against; furthermore, LAK cells are selective for cancer cells with little to no activity against healthy cells. Therefore, the ability of CBD to increase ICAM-1 and stimulate lysis by LAK cells is a unique method by which the cannabinoid works to boost anticancer immune function and kill cancer. Not surprisingly, blocking ICAM-1 eliminated CBD-induced tumor cell killing by LAK cells.
Several receptors were implicated in the effects of CBD. CB₁, CB₂, and TRPV₁ receptors were all integral to the initial increase in ICAM-1. The LFA-1 receptor, which interacts with ICAM-1, was subsequently integral to conferring LAK cell-mediated cancer cell death.

THC and an analog of anandamide, methanandamide, also increased ICAM-1 and cancer cell death in a similar manner as CBD. However, CBD appeared to be stronger, inducing larger increases in ICAM-1 than THC or methanandamide.

All three cannabinoids were tested in healthy BEAS-2B bronchial epithelial cells. They had very little to no effect on ICAM-1 expression in these cells, and there was no increase in cytotoxic lysis by LAK cells, indicating the selectivity of cannabinoids against cancer.

A previous study primarily focused on cervical cancer also explored CBD's effects on A549 cells (Ramer et al., "Cannabidiol"). By acting through CB₁, CB₂, and TRPV₁ receptors, CBD activated p38 and p42/44 MAPKs and caused an increase in TIMP-1. This led to decreased invasion of A549 cells.

An in vivo test using A549 cells injected into mice was carried out to further explore the inhibitory properties of CBD. Vehicle-treated mice had an average of 6 lung metastatic nodules, whereas CBD-treated mice had an average of 1 nodule; overall, there was an 84% inhibition of metastasis.

Multiple Myeloma

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a cancer of plasma cells, which comprise part of the immune system ("Multiple Myeloma"). There is no cure, and the cancer can be especially aggressive. A June 2014 study in the International Journal of Cancer demonstrated how CBD kills RPMI8226 and U266 MM cells (Morelli et al.). First, CBD sharply reduced viability in both cell lines. When the lines were transfected with TRPV₂ receptors, they responded to CBD even faster. The researchers did this to more accurately represent the in vivo manifestation of MM.

CBD worked by itself or synergistically with the chemotherapy drug bortezomib to reduce MM viability and proliferation, as well as arrest the cell cycle at the G₁ phase and induce
necrotic cell death. The presence of TRPV\textsubscript{2} receptors enhanced all the anticancer properties of CBD. Although CBD exerted effects through TRPV\textsubscript{2} receptors when they were present, its effects in both TRPV\textsubscript{2}-positive and –negative cells were independent of CB\textsubscript{1}, CB\textsubscript{2}, TRPV\textsubscript{1}, and PPAR-\(\gamma\) receptors.

Several molecular mechanisms contributed to the cytotoxic effects of CBD. There were increases in mitochondrial membrane permeability and ROS; both phenomena are associated with necrosis or apoptosis. Alone or in combination with bortezomib, CBD reduced phosphorylated ERK and phosphorylated Akt. It also reduced Cyclin D1, a protein found in cell nuclei that is required for cell cycle progression in the G\textsubscript{1} phase (Baldin et al.). Finally, CBD reduced the DNA binding activation of p52 and p65, which are classical pathways that activate the nuclear factor NF-\(\kappa\)B pathway. NF-kappaB proteins include a family of transcription factors involved in the regulation of immune processes, development, cell growth, and apoptosis (Gilmore). The NF-\(\kappa\)B pathway may be associated with the pathogenesis of MM; it is also associated with inflammation.

**Pancreatic Cancer**

Pancreatic cancer is well known to be one of the most aggressive and difficult to treat cancers. A 2006 study by Dr. Manuel Guzmán's team in Spain produced strong \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} evidence for the effectiveness of THC against pancreatic cancer (Carracedo et al.). First, the researchers demonstrated that in both human and mouse tumor biopsies, CB\textsubscript{1} and CB\textsubscript{2} receptors were expressed at higher levels in the cancerous tissue compared to the surrounding healthy tissue.

THC induced apoptosis in the human Panc1, Capan2, BxPc3, and MiaPaCa2 cell lines via CB\textsubscript{2} activation. Following receptor activation, there was an increase in ceramide biosynthesis leading to ceramide accumulation and subsequent upregulation of the stress-regulated protein p8. The increase in p8 levels further led to upregulation of the endoplasmic reticulum stress-related proteins activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) and tribbles homolog 3 (TRB3). Both of these proteins were critical for mediating THC-induced apoptosis.
Ceramide accumulation also activated caspase-3, which is associated with apoptosis. While it was not clear at what point this happened, it is likely the enzyme's activation occurred downstream of TRB3, as TRB3 has been shown to interact with caspase-3 (Shimizu et al.). If this is the case, the pathway to apoptosis would be as follows:

CB_2 activation -> ceramide accumulation -> p8 upregulation -> ATF-4 and TRB3 upregulation -> caspase-3 activation -> apoptosis

THC and a synthetic CB_2 agonist were also shown to be effective in mice. Tumors were generated by subcutaneous injection of MiaPaCa2 cells.
Prostate Cancer

Besides skin cancer, prostate cancer is the most common cancer found in men, with a prevalence rate of almost 15% ("What are"). Moreover, 1 in 38 men will die of prostate cancer, as it is the second leading cause of cancer death in men. Although many types of prostate cancer are slow-growing, it is imperative for patients to take steps to manage or preferably kill the malignancy.

A 1999 study in *FEBS Letters* described the effects of THC on PC3 prostate cancer cells (Ruiz, Miguel, and Díaz-Laviada). THC was shown to dose-dependently reduce cell viability and induce apoptosis in PC3 cells through a CB1/CB2 receptor-independent mechanism. Activating both cannabinoid receptors with a synthetic agonist had no effect on cell viability, further reinforcing the receptor-independent nature of THC-induced cell death in PC3 cells. DNA fragmentation also occurred in a dose-dependent manner, consistent with apoptosis.

A previously discussed study regarding the ability of CBD to induce apoptosis in colon cancer cells also showed the cannabinoid could kill LNCaP prostate cancer cells (Sreevalsan et al.). As with the colon cancer cells, CBD induced phosphatase expression, specifically *DUSP1*, *DUSP4*, and *DUSP10*. These phosphatases dephosphorylate (inactivate) kinase molecules like MAPK involved in cell survival. The study did not indicate which kinases were inactivated by the phosphatases, but MAPK was a likely target. Blocking phosphatase induction reduced CBD-induced apoptosis, so there is no doubt that inactivation of some kinases played a role in cell death.

The proapoptotic effect in LNCaP cells was mediated by both CB1 and CB2 receptors, but the CB2 receptors had an apparently greater role (blocking CB2 inhibited PARP and caspase-3 cleavage; blocking CB1 only partially inhibited caspase-3 cleavage without affecting PARP).
This is in contrast to SW480 colon cancer cells, where CB₁ and CB₂ receptors had apparently equal roles in inducing apoptosis (blocking either receptor inhibited PARP and caspase-3 cleavage). This demonstrates that CBD's interaction with cannabinoid receptors varies between cell lines.

A 2013 study in the *British Journal of Pharmacology* showed how numerous individual cannabinoids and specific extracts inhibited prostate cancer growth (De Petrocellis et al., "Non-THC Cannabinoids"). Specifically, CBD, CBC, CBG, CBDV, THCV, THCVA, THCA, CBDA, CBGA, and CBGV, along with their corresponding whole plant-based botanical drug substances (BDSs), were shown to inhibit androgen receptor-negative DU-145 cells and androgen receptor-positive LNCaP cells. IC₅₀ values (the concentration required to reduce viability by 50% as compared to controls) were used to rank the potency of various cannabinoids. In general, CBD and CBC were the strongest cannabinoids, and the extracts were stronger than the isolated cannabinoids, although there were exceptions.

It is important to note that THCA, THCVA, CBDA, and CBGA are the unheated, raw cannabinoids found naturally in the cannabis plant. When heat is applied, the compounds are decarboxylated (removal of a carboxyl [COOH] group) and transformed into neutral cannabinoids (THC, THCV, CBD, CBG, etc.). In practice, the decarboxylated cannabinoids are showing far greater anticancer activity than the raw cannabinoids.

Researchers also compared the effects of the cannabinoids on cancer cells in serum or without serum. In general, the cannabinoids had a much greater effect when used on cells in a serum-free medium, which may be due to components of the serum counteracting the cannabinoids. In any case, the following charts efficiently illustrate the relative strengths of many different cannabinoid preparations.

Note: [A] column is with serum, [B] column is without serum. Lower IC₅₀ = Greater potency.
Table 1. Effect of plant cannabinoids on the viability of human prostate carcinoma androgen receptor-negative (DU-145) cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pure compound</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM) on cell viability [A]</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM) on cell viability [B]</th>
<th>BDS</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM) on cell viability [A]</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM) on cell viability [B]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>25.3 ± 8</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1</td>
<td>CBD BDS</td>
<td>9.0 ± 4</td>
<td>7.8 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>&gt;25 (40.7%)</td>
<td>8.5 ± 3</td>
<td>CBC BDS</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBG</td>
<td>&gt;25 (17.3%)</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1</td>
<td>CBG BDS</td>
<td>10.4 ± 5</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBDV</td>
<td>21.0 ± 4</td>
<td>20.0 ± 5</td>
<td>CBDV BDS</td>
<td>17.1 ± 7</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCV</td>
<td>&gt;25 (38.6%)</td>
<td>20.3 ± 3</td>
<td>THCV BDS</td>
<td>&gt;25 (41.0%)</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCVA</td>
<td>&gt;25 (25.6%)</td>
<td>&gt;25 (36.1%)</td>
<td>THCVA BDS</td>
<td>&gt;25 (30.9%)</td>
<td>12.4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCA</td>
<td>&gt;25 (21.0%)</td>
<td>21.6 ± 2</td>
<td>THCA BDS</td>
<td>18.0 ± 2</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBDA</td>
<td>&gt;25 (11.2%)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 4</td>
<td>CBDA BDS</td>
<td>&gt;25 (27.8%)</td>
<td>15.9 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBGA</td>
<td>&gt;25 (7.7%)</td>
<td>11.2 ± 2</td>
<td>CBGA BDS</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2</td>
<td>12.3 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBGV</td>
<td>&gt;25 (11.3%)</td>
<td>&gt;25 (23.3%)</td>
<td>CBGV BDS</td>
<td>&gt;25 (21.9%)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBN</td>
<td>&gt;25 (17.2%)</td>
<td>&gt;25 (21.8%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC</td>
<td>&gt;25 (6.6%)</td>
<td>11.7 ± 3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Effect of plant cannabinoids on the viability of human prostate carcinoma androgen receptor-positive (LNCaP) cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pure compound</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM) on cell viability [A]</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM) on cell viability [B]</th>
<th>BDS</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM) on cell viability [A]</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (µM) on cell viability [B]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>25.0 ± 3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2</td>
<td>CBD BDS</td>
<td>18.1 ± 6</td>
<td>6.6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>20.0 ± 5</td>
<td>10.9 ± 3</td>
<td>CBC BDS</td>
<td>&gt;25 (25.6%)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBG</td>
<td>&gt;25 (34.5%)</td>
<td>11.2 ± 4</td>
<td>CBG BDS</td>
<td>21 ± 8</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBDV</td>
<td>&gt;25 (27.6%)</td>
<td>20.0 ± 3</td>
<td>CBDV BDS</td>
<td>&gt;25 (24.4%)</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCV</td>
<td>&gt;25 (28.5%)</td>
<td>17.5 ± 3</td>
<td>THCV BDS</td>
<td>16.3 ± 5</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCVA</td>
<td>&gt;25 (32.4%)</td>
<td>11.5 ± 5</td>
<td>THCVA BDS</td>
<td>19.4 ± 8</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCA</td>
<td>22.1 ± 2</td>
<td>17.1 ± 1</td>
<td>THCA BDS</td>
<td>15.0 ± 2</td>
<td>4.0 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBDA</td>
<td>&gt;25 (30.2%)</td>
<td>16.2 ± 5</td>
<td>CBDA BDS</td>
<td>&gt;25 (34.5%)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBGA</td>
<td>&gt;25 (7.0%)</td>
<td>11.6 ± 2</td>
<td>CBGA BDS</td>
<td>14.5 ± 2</td>
<td>8.5 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBGV</td>
<td>&gt;25 (23.9%)</td>
<td>&gt;25 (43.2%)</td>
<td>CBGV BDS</td>
<td>&gt;25 (40.2%)</td>
<td>9.4 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBN</td>
<td>14.5 ± 6</td>
<td>&gt;25 (34.2%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC</td>
<td>16.9 ± 3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
While many cannabinoids were examined, CBD was the chief cannabinoid under scrutiny. Using receptor antagonists, it was shown that CBD's effects were mediated independently of CB1, CB2, TRPV1, TRPA1, and TRPM8 channels. CBD was paired with two conventional treatments for prostate cancer, bicalutamide and docetaxel, to examine potential synergistic effects. CBD enhanced the antiproliferative actions of both drugs in DU-145 and LNCaP cells, although the effective concentrations varied based on the cell line and drug used. The enhancing effect appeared to be mostly additive, but mild synergy may have been at play.

Depending on whether serum was present or not, several cannabinoids had weak or strong pro-apoptotic effects, respectively. In serum-deprived conditions, CBD, CBC, and CBG induced caspase 3/7 activity (indicative of apoptosis) in LNCaP cells. However, only CBD was effective at increasing caspase activity in DU-145 cells, as CBC and CBG had little effect. In serum conditions, THCV, THCV-A, and CBGV had a small but significant influence on caspase 3/7 activity in DU-145 cells.

Further analysis confirmed the ability of CBD to induce apoptosis in LNCaP and DU-145 cells, as well as the human prostate cancer cell lines PC3 and 22RV1. CBD stimulated apoptosis by upregulating expression of p53-up-regulated modulator of apoptosis (PUMA), which regulates intrinsic pathways of apoptosis, in all four cell lines. CHOP, another apoptosis-activator, increased as well. CBD also inhibited the G1-S transition of the cell cycle, likely by strongly boosting expression of the cell cycle inhibitor proteins p27kip and p21.

Furthermore, CBD dose-dependently elevated intracellular calcium levels in an extracellular-calcium-independent manner in the four cell lines. This increase was apparently critical to the subsequent induction of reactive oxygen species in LNCaP cells, but no increase in ROS was observed in the other three cell lines. Both increased calcium and ROS are associated with apoptosis. CBC and CBG also raised intracellular calcium in all cell lines.

High expression levels of G-protein-coupled oestrogen receptor 1 (GPER) were observed in the four cell lines. Blocking this receptor attenuated CBD-induced calcium release and apoptosis, indicating the important role of GPER in mediating the anticancer effects of CBD.

Several in vivo experiments were carried out to determine how CBD-BDS worked on its own and in conjunction with chemotherapy. CBD-BDS dose-dependently inhibited xenograft tumors derived from LNCaP cells, but not DU-145 cells. This inhibitory effect was quantitatively similar to that of docetaxel, although in this case the BDS actually impaired the effectiveness of the chemotherapeutic agent. Interestingly, in DU-145 tumors where CBD-BDS alone was ineffective, it significantly potentiated docetaxel. Further experiments with CBD-BDS and bicalutamide showed they worked very well together to inhibit growth and prolong survival, even when either compound alone was ineffective.
Rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) is a soft tissue cancer that begins in bone-attached muscles and mostly affects children under 10 ("Soft Tissue"). As with several other cancers, cannabinoid receptors appear to be upregulated in RMS cells. A 2009 study from researchers associated with the University Children's Hospital in Switzerland showed that CB1 receptor expression was far higher in translocation-positive RMS (tposRMS) cells (Rh4, Rh28, and RMS13 cell lines) than healthy cells as well as translocation-negative RMS (tnegRMS) cells (RD cell line) (Oesch et al.). There are two major subtypes of RMS – embryonal RMS and alveolar RMS. The latter form is less frequent and more aggressive; 80% of patients with this form display chromosomal translocations (translocation-positive) that ultimately increase expression of oncogenic transcription factors. While alveolar RMS can be translocation-negative, it is most often translocation-positive.

THC significantly reduced viability of tposRMS Rh4 cells, but not tnegRMS cells or nontransformed fibroblast MRC-5 cells, apparently because they did not express high levels of CB1 receptors. Indeed, the viability-reducing effect of THC on Rh4 cells was shown to be mediated by CB1 receptors.

An anandamide-related compound, Met-F-AEA, also affected RMS cell viability. Met-F-AEA and THC induced cleavage of PARP protein, indicating the observed reduction in viability was due to activation of apoptosis. Finally, both compounds inhibited phosphorylation of Akt and increased p8 levels. While not discussed in the study, given that p8 can stimulate TRB3 activity and TRB3 can inhibit Akt, it is possible that TRB3 also played a role.
The study also used a synthetic cannabinoid similar to THC, HU210, to assess the *in vivo* effect of cannabinoid receptor activation on RMS growth. Using an alveolar RMS xenograft in mice, HU210 was shown to significantly reduce tumor growth, at least partially through increasing apoptosis. It is reasonable to expect that similar results would be seen with THC treatment.

**Skin Cancer**

Skin cancer is the most prevalent form of cancer. Specifically, basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most common type in Caucasians, Hispanics, Chinese Asians and Japanese; squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the most common skin cancer in African Americans and Asian Indians ("Skin Cancer Facts"). Melanoma is a less frequently diagnosed but more aggressive skin cancer.
In general, BCC is the least aggressive and melanoma is the most aggressive, with SCC in the middle.

An April 2015 study from German researchers, published in *Life Sciences*, showed the potential of THC to fight melanoma (Glodde et al.). Interestingly, the in vitro experiments showed that THC had no effect on proliferation of mouse melanoma cell lines HCmel12 and B16. However, the in vivo experiments were somewhat more promising. In mice injected with HCmel12 cells, THC reduced melanoma tumor volume by 50%; from 500mm$^3$ to 250mm$^3$. This reduction was dependent on cannabinoid receptor activation. However, mice with B16-derived melanomas were not affected by THC.

Since THC was not directly effective for inhibiting proliferation in vitro, it was hypothesized that more complex mechanisms were responsible for the reduction in mice melanomas. Indeed, THC reduced the infiltration of CD45+ immune cells into melanoma tumors. HCmel12 melanomas are specifically characterized by infiltration of pro-tumorigenic myeloid immune cells, so inhibiting this inflammatory response is a powerful anticancer mechanism. Although not explored in the main part of the study, it is likely that THC decreased production of proinflammatory chemokines, which led to decreased recruitment of immune cells.
Finally, the study showed that the endocannabinoid system was not involved in the pathogenesis of skin cancer by using three different mouse models. Knockout mice without cannabinoid receptors had similar tumor development outcomes as wild-type mice with receptors.

A June 2015 study in *The Journal of Investigative Dermatology* indicated the potential of THC and CBD to treat melanoma (Armstrong et al.). First, THC was shown to activate autophagy and induce apoptosis in BRAF wild-type (CHL-1) and mutated (A375 and SK-MEL-28) melanoma cell lines. Activation of TRB3 and the autophagy-related protein Atg7 were integral to the induction of autophagy; that process was subsequently critical for caspase-3 cleavage and apoptosis. Beclin-1, another protein associated with autophagy and implicated in the anticancer effects of cannabinoids in other cancers, as well as the Beclin-1-interacting protein Ambra1, were not involved in autophagy in this case.

Using very small doses of THC and CBD together resulted in substantial loss of viability in CHL-1, A375, and SK-MEL-28 cells. THC alone was somewhat effective; temozolomide, a standard single-agent treatment for metastatic melanoma, had little effect.
Researchers also assessed the in vivo anticancer action of cannabinoids with a mouse CHL-1 xenograft tumor model. THC and the THC+CBD combination reduced tumor cell proliferation and increased autophagy and apoptosis compared to control or temozolomide conditions. The authors concluded, "Collectively, these data suggest that THC and Sativex-L [THC+CBD] are more effective than temozolomide in terms of apoptosis induction and antitumor response, further validating the therapeutic relevance of cannabinoid treatment for melanoma."

**Urothelial Carcinoma**

Urothelial carcinoma (UC) is a cancer that involves parts of the kidney, ureter, and bladder, mostly occurring in the latter ("Urothelial"). A 2010 study in *Urology* from Japanese researchers at Nagoya City University demonstrated the ability of CBD to induce apoptosis in T24 UC cancer cells (Yamada et al.). T24 is a high grade, poorly differentiated UC cell line.

CBD reduced viability and induced apoptosis in T24 cells in a concentration-dependent manner via the activation of TRPV2 receptors. Stimulation of the receptors caused a continuous influx of calcium through TRPV2 channels, which led to apoptosis. RT4 UC cells, which do not express TRPV2 receptors, were not significantly affected by CBD treatment.
**Assorted Cancers**

A study by Italian researchers in 2006 demonstrated that most of the major cannabinoids exert anticancer effects against many types of cancer, although the study was primarily focused on CBD and breast cancer (Ligresti et al.). The effects of THC, THCA, CBD, CBDA, CBG, CBC, and THC-rich and CBD-rich whole plant extracts (botanical drug substances) on MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 human breast carcinoma cells, DU-145 human prostate carcinoma cells, CaCo-2 human colorectal carcinoma cells, AGS human gastric adenocarcinoma cells, C6 rat glioma cells, KiMol rat thyroid cells transformed with the v-K-ras oncogene, and RBL-2H3 rat basophilic leukemia cells were examined. Results from the impressive array of experiments are summarized in the following chart.

The values of the cannabinoids are measured in micromoles (µM). The measurements reflect IC₅₀ values. For example, 14.2 +/- 2.1 µM of THC was required to inhibit MCF-7 cell proliferation by 50% as compared with controls. Lower IC₅₀ values indicate greater potency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MCF-7</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>DU-145</th>
<th>KiMol</th>
<th>CaCo-2</th>
<th>MDA-MB-231</th>
<th>RBL-2H3</th>
<th>AGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δ⁹-THC</td>
<td>14.2±1</td>
<td>23.0±4.2</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>23.2±1.5</td>
<td>16.5±0.2</td>
<td>24.3±4.2</td>
<td>15.8±3.7</td>
<td>19.3±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC-A</td>
<td>9.8±0.4</td>
<td>18.0±5.3</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>21.0±2.7</td>
<td>21.5±1.4</td>
<td>18.2±5.3</td>
<td>10.0±3.4</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>8.2±0.3</td>
<td>8.5±0.8</td>
<td>20.2±1.8</td>
<td>6.0±3.0</td>
<td>7.5±0.5</td>
<td>10.6±1.8</td>
<td>6.3±1.5</td>
<td>7.5±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD-A</td>
<td>21.7±3.2</td>
<td>18.0±4.2</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>12.7±3.0</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBG</td>
<td>9.8±3.4</td>
<td>13.0±2.1</td>
<td>21.3±1.7</td>
<td>8.2±0.7</td>
<td>9.0±1.4</td>
<td>16.2±2.1</td>
<td>9.0±0.7</td>
<td>8.2±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>14.2±1.4</td>
<td>13.0±2.8</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>7.3±3.0</td>
<td>12.0±2.4</td>
<td>20.4±2.6</td>
<td>15.8±4.2</td>
<td>18.3±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THCA-rich</td>
<td>21.0±0.5</td>
<td>18.5±3.3</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>23.0±2.0</td>
<td>16.0±0.5</td>
<td>25.2±3.3</td>
<td>14.6±3.1</td>
<td>22.0±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD-rich</td>
<td>6.0±1.0</td>
<td>4.7±0.6</td>
<td>20±4.6</td>
<td>6.2±2.9</td>
<td>12.3±1.2</td>
<td>14.1±1.6</td>
<td>7.0±0.6</td>
<td>10.0±1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As demonstrated, isolated CBD and CBD-rich extracts always yielded the most powerful antiproliferative effects. CBG was almost always the second most potent compound, followed by CBC. Interestingly, THCA showed stronger activity than THC in most cell lines. In the case of DU-145 cells specifically, only the highest concentration of cannabinoids tested had an inhibitory effect on proliferation, whereas the lower doses stimulated growth. However, the CBD-rich extract was devoid of pro-proliferative effects even at the lowest doses, potentially
indicating that the cannabinoids work synergistically to prevent any potential pro-cancer effects while maximizing anti-cancer effects. Regardless of that possibility, the lowest doses of the cannabinoids had no stimulatory effect on the other cell lines, and in general appeared to exert dose-dependent anticancer activity on each line.

CBD's potency was compared to the standard chemotherapeutic agent cisplatinum to determine relative strengths. The drug was only 2.5-, 8.8-, and 3.9-fold more potent than CBD in MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and AGS cells. Therefore, it is possible that reasonably higher doses of CBD (or other cannabinoids) could be used to achieve the same effects as standard chemotherapeutic agents, but with none of the physically devastating or fatal side effects. Unlike cisplatinum, CBD was shown to be highly selective against cancer cells. At its IC_{50} concentrations, it did not affect the vitality of healthy cells. However, at the highest concentration (25μM), it began to exhibit a cytotoxic effect.

The mechanisms behind CBD's effects on several cancers were further explored. In MCF-7 cells, CBD blocked the cell cycle at the G1/S phase transition. In KiMol cells, the antiproliferative effect of CBD was accompanied by proapoptotic action. In C6 and MDA-MB-231 cells, CBD exhibited "a pure proapoptotic effect." Given the latter two cell lines were nonhormone-sensitive, and the former two were hormone-sensitive, it is possible that hormones play a role in whether CBD exerts antiproliferative or proapoptotic effects. In MDA-MB-231 cells, CBD caused cleavage of procaspase-3 into caspase-3, a hallmark of apoptosis.

CBD increased intracellular calcium levels in a manner independent of extracellular calcium levels. The cannabinoid also induced ROS formation in a dose-, time-, and calcium-dependent manner. This suggests CBD increased calcium levels, which subsequently caused ROS formation.

The effects of all the cannabinoids on all the cell lines were largely unrelated to CB₁, CB₂, and TRPV₁ receptor activation. However, in MDA-MB-231 cells, CBD's effects were partially, but not entirely, mediated by CB₂ and TRPV₁ activation. Indeed, the ability of CBD to increase intracellular calcium, and apparently ROS formation, was not mediated by cannabinoid or TRPV₁ receptors.

Two xenograft tumor models were used to ascertain the effects of CBD on thyroid (KiMol) and breast (MBA-MD-231) carcinomas. Chart A refers to the KiMol-derived tumors and Chart B refers to the MBA-MD-231-derived tumors. Chart C demonstrates strongly reduced formation of lung metastatic nodules in CBD-treated mice as well.
The primary ways cannabinoids fight cancer include inducing apoptosis (programmed cell death), inhibiting angiogenesis (the formation of blood vessels to tumors), reducing proliferation, and impairing metastasis. However, cannabinoids have other unique anticancer effects. One study demonstrated THC and CBD help the immune system digest cancer cells more effectively. Another showed CBD promoted the differentiation of glioma stem-like cells, thus making them easier to treat. CBD also works at the genetic level to inhibit metastasis of breast cancer. It is likely that more research will reveal novel mechanisms of anticancer action.

There are several major pathways by which cannabinoids induce apoptosis. Reducing activation of the MAPK and Akt survival pathways is especially common. Increasing intracellular calcium and generation of reactive oxygen species is seen mostly with CBD but also with THC and anandamide. Cannabinoid effects are not always consistent; for example, CBD activates the MAPK pathway to down-regulate the Id-1 gene or increase TIMP-1 to decrease metastasis and invasion. Another common pathway involves ceramide, which can reduce mitochondrial membrane potential and cause the release of cytochrome c, which then activates caspase-3, one of the chief apoptosis-initiating proteins.
Isolated cannabinoids and whole-plant botanical drug substances (BDSs) tend to exert anticancer activity in the vast majority of cancer cell lines studied. In some cases, exceptionally low doses (usually less than a milligram) of isolated cannabinoids can stimulate cancer cell death. Such pro-cancer effects usually disappear at higher doses or when BDSs are used. In general, using whole-plant formulations maximizes the benefits of cannabinoids while minimizing potential negative attributes. In addition to maximizing anticancer effects, whole-plant cannabinoids are usually better tolerated. For example, CBD can be used to reduce the psychoactivity of THC.

Anandamide exerts effects largely via CB₁ or TRPV₁ channels, as well as entirely receptor-independent mechanisms. In one case, CB₂ receptors were implicated. The cannabinoid receptors themselves generally confer anticancer effects when activated, but in some cases, blocking the receptors causes antiproliferative or pro-apoptotic effects. The only human study examining the relationship between cannabinoid receptor levels and cancer survival found that increased levels were associated with better disease-free survival.

There seems to be an interplay between phytocannabinoid and endocannabinoid anticancer effects. For example, CBD seems to fight cancer in part by increasing anandamide levels, as theorized by one study and bolstered by the fact that many of its anticancer effects are cannabinoid receptor-mediated, despite CBD not directly activating such receptors. CBD can also increase COX-2, which subsequently increases prostaglandins that induce apoptosis via PPAR-γ activation. One study postulated that COX-2 metabolizes anandamide into prostaglandins to induce apoptosis. Therefore, CBD may increase COX-2 and anandamide, thus enabling more COX-2 to convert more anandamide into the downstream compounds that initiate apoptosis.

---

**THC induces apoptosis in:**
- U373 MG astrocytoma cells
- SF126 glioblastoma cells
- C6.9 glioma cells
- N18TG2 neuroblastoma cells
- RMCCA1 and HuCCA1 cholangiocarcinoma cells
- HepG2 and HuH-7 hepatocellular carcinoma cells
- CEM acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells
- HL60 acute promyelocytic cells
- HEL-92 erythroleukemia cells
- Jurkat leukemia cells
- A549 and SW-1573 non-small cell lung cancer cells
- Panc1, Capan2, BxPc3, and MiaPaCa2 pancreatic cancer cells
- PC3 prostate cancer cells
- Rh4 translocation-positive rhabdomyosarcoma cells
CHL-1, A375, and SK-MEL-28 melanoma cells

THC impairs metastasis, migration or invasion of:
- HeLa and C33A cervical cancer cells
- RMCCA1 and HuCCA1 cholangiocarcinoma cells
- C6.9, SW1088, T98G, U87MG, and U118MG glioma cells
- A549 and SW-1573 non-small lung cancer cells

THC inhibits proliferation of:
- MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and N202.1A breast cancer cells
- RMCCA1 and HuCCA1 cholangiocarcinoma cells
- Caco-2 colon cancer cells
- AGS gastric cancer cells
- C6 glioma cells
- RBL-2H3 leukemia cells
- A549 and SW-1573 non-small cell lung cancer cells
- DU-145 prostate cancer cells
- KiMol thyroid cancer cells

CBD induces apoptosis in:
- MCF-7 and ZR-75-1 estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer cells
- MDA-MB-231 and SK-BR-3 estrogen receptor-negative cells
- SW480 colon cancer cells
- C6, U87 and U373 glioma cells
- SF126 glioblastoma cells
- KSHV-infected HMVECs (Kaposi's Sarcoma) cells
- EL-4 lymphoma cells
- Jurkat and MOLT-4 leukemia cells
- A549 and H460 lung cancer cells
- RPMI8226 and U266 multiple myeloma cells (necrosis)
- LNCaP, DU-145, PC3, and 22RV1 prostate cancer cells
- KiMol thyroid cancer cells
- T24 urothelial cancer cells

CBD impairs metastasis, migration or invasion of:
- MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 breast cancer cells
- HeLa and C33A cervical cancer cells
- U87-MG and T98G glioma cells
- A549 lung cancer cells
CBD inhibits proliferation of:
MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 breast cancer cells
Caco-2, DLD-1, HCT116, and SW480 colon cancer cells
AGS gastric cancer cells
C6, U87, U251, U373, and SF126 glioma cells
KSHV-infected HMVECs (Kaposi's sarcoma) cells
CEM, HL60, and RBL-2H3 leukemia cells
RMPI8226 and U266 multiple myeloma cells
DU-145 and LNCaP prostate cancer cells
KiMol thyroid cancer cells
III. Human Case Results

The scientific evidence overwhelmingly supports the notion that cannabis extracts could kill cancer in humans. Both endocannabinoids and phytocannabinoids inhibit cancer cells through numerous mechanisms. Furthermore, preclinical results suggesting the efficacy of cannabis extracts for the treatment of other diseases, like epilepsy and schizophrenia, have been proven in large-scale observational studies or double-blind trials to transfer to humans. It is no surprise that for at least a decade, and perhaps far longer, humans have reported cancer remissions after using cannabis extracts.

**Brain Cancer**

The Winter/Spring 2013 issue of O'Shaughnessy's, an American cannabis journal, described the regression of an optic pathway glioma (Gardner). Over the course of 16 months, the tumor reduced by 95%; the sole treatment used to achieve this was cannabis oil. The case was reported by Dr. Jeffrey Hergenrather, whose work is further described in the *Assorted Cancers* section.

Dr. William Courtney is a pioneer of cannabis juicing and raw cannabinoid therapy. In a *Huffington Post Live* video, he described a young child with a brain tumor who received surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation ("Medical Pot"). The treatments failed and the child was sent home on hospice. The parents began administering juice derived from the leaves of cannabis plants,
which contain some THCA and CBDA. As of the report, the patient was still alive two years later with no trace of the tumor.

An Israeli physician named Dr. Ephraim Lansky published a “now-famous case study” about a cancer patient who came to him with a golf-ball-sized tumor in his head (Wilson). Dr. Lansky treated him with one gram per day of CBD-rich cannabis (most likely oil) ingested orally. Eight months later, the tumor had shrunk by 75% and the patient’s seizures had entirely disappeared. ‘“Cannabis is just another herb, and it belongs within the wider context of herbal medicine,’ Lansky told the Jewish Journal. ‘Of all the other herbs I use, it’s the most useful. I’d even have to put it ahead of garlic.”’

The documentary American Drug War 2 described the journey of child patient Cash Hyde, who arguably launched the pediatric cannabis movement (American Drug War 2). On May 3, 2010, Cash was diagnosed with a Stage IV brain tumor. On May 5th, surgery was performed and high-dose chemotherapy was started. The Hydes, Mike and Kalli, were told that even with bone marrow transplants, Cash had an 80% chance of dying. The combination of chemotherapy and
other pharmaceuticals resulted in a 2-week ICU stay, where the Hydes were warned of possible organ failure and brain failure. As the documentary graphically depicts, Cash’s state was mortally severe, and it is frankly stunning how he was able to survive for so long through so much.

In their search to find some way to help their son, the Hydes discovered stories of cannabis oil healing cancer. The day of this discovery, they managed to acquire oil, and Mike immediately began secretly sneaking it into Cash’s feeding tube. Almost instantly, Cash started to drastically improve. Within two weeks, he was able to get off eight medications. He began to eat and laugh again. His quality of life transformed for the better.

Cash was released from the ICU in mid-December 2010. His parents had to teach him how to crawl and walk again, as his motor skills had deteriorated from the cancer ordeal. In January 2011, brain scans revealed Cash was cancer free. After the scans, Mike revealed to the doctors that he had been giving cannabis oil to Cash. The doctors were speechless, and then attributed the healing to prayers rather than cannabis oil. As Mike said, "I believe in prayers and miracles, but I also believe in numbers, and at the end of the day it adds up."

 Nonetheless, hospital staff gathered to witness Cash leaving cancer free, believing they had seen a miracle.
Given the possibility that the tumor could recur, the Hydes continued to provide Cash with cannabis oil after his remission. However, in March 2011, a series of federal raids on medicinal cannabis suppliers resulted in Cash’s oil supply being cut off. The family ran out of medicine in June.

In October 2011, a scan confirmed the Hydes’ worst fear - Cash’s cancer had returned. They could not reestablish a reliable cannabis oil supplier in Montana, so they went to California in November 2011 to attempt proton therapy. They also hoped to find a supplier in California, and get Cash restarted on cannabis oil as soon as possible. Upon arriving in California, doctors gave a poor prognosis for Cash, and said there was no hope of shrinking the tumor. In December 2011, the Hydes were put in contact with Ringo, a producer who gave them a 90-day supply of cannabis oil for free. With the combination of proton therapy and cannabis oil, Cash went into remission for the second time in January 2012. Cash was the first cancer patient to undergo 30 rounds of proton radiation treatment without using any nausea or pain medications besides cannabis oil.

After running out of oil, the Hydes again were not able to find a sustainable supply. And again, in July 2012, Cash’s cancer returned for the third and final time. This fight was ultimately too much for a child so young, and Cash passed away on November 14, 2012 in Mike’s arms, a final moment the Hydes are thankful for. Had he died in the hospital while under the influence of strong pharmaceutical drugs, his passing surely would not have been as peaceful. To share
Cash’s story and information about the healing effects of cannabis oil, the Hydes founded the Cash Hyde Foundation (http://www.cashhydefoundation.com).

In Spring 2011, then 14-year-old Alysa Erwin was diagnosed with Grade III anaplastic astrocytoma (Gabriel, "What if"). Even with chemotherapy treatments, she was only given 18 months to live. Alysa began taking Temedor, which caused terrible side effects. Her family learned about the potential of cannabis oil to eliminate the tumor from the documentaries What if Cannabis Cured Cancer and Run From the Cure, and Alysa began taking a THC-rich oil in August 2011. After the very first dose of cannabis oil, which Alysa ingested through an infused peanut butter, she experienced a "miraculous transformation." Her mother Carly stated, "About 30 minutes after taking cannabis oil she was out of her room eating and smiling. We knew what we wanted after seeing her, but we wanted to see what she wanted because it was her body. The light was back in her eyes again. She was back to herself. She said she wasn’t doing chemo anymore; she was only doing cannabis oil."

After a year of taking high doses of cannabis oil, about three milliliters a day (roughly three grams), the cancer changed into five identifiable tumors, one of which was near Alysa’s brainstem. Doctors convinced Alysa to have six weeks of radiation, which her mother said was regrettable because it caused brain swelling. Alysa continued using cannabis oil, and by January 2013 was cancer free.

Astrocytomas of all types tend to recur, which unfortunately proved true for Alysa (Gabriel, "Alysa"). For several reasons, she was not able to continue a steady maintenance dose of cannabis oil; such a practice is often integral to keeping cancers in remission. In late July 2014, the astrocytoma returned in a very aggressive form. Doctors said radiation would only buy her some time, apparently just a few weeks. Alysa combined cannabis oil with the radiation, which allowed her to forego opiate medications as well as gain weight. By April 2015, doctors said she was 75% cancer free (Counts). In June 2015, a Facebook post indicated that doctors said everything looked stable and they did not see any more cancer ("WHAT?!!")

(November 2014 scan)
In a February 11, 2013 video, Amy Jo Clark spoke about how cannabis oil helped her treat a brain tumor ("Amy Jo Clark"). For a significant period of time, she managed the tumor entirely with conventional treatment. At the time of filming, Amy stated she had received abnormal bloodwork for two years, which reflected the presence of the tumor. After using cannabis oil for at least four months, as well as improving her nutrition, her blood tests were completely normal. When Amy began ingesting oil she discontinued all conventional medications, including opiates, which she had been on for seven years. As of June 2015, Amy is doing well and has become a remarkably strong activist for natural health.

A September 29, 2014 article described Lindsay Carter's battle with a brain tumor (Sawyer). At that point, Lindsay had traveled to the United States three times for cannabis oil treatment. After each visit, the tumor reduced substantially, including by almost half after one seven-week treatment period. However, upon returning to Australia where he could not access cannabis oil, the tumor began growing again. As of June 2015, Lindsay is still fighting, but has not been able to return to the United States for treatment for several months. Hopefully he can complete treatment at home in Australia.
An article published on October 10, 2013 detailed the history of child patient Dahlia Barnhart (Jurgensen). Dahlia was born in September 2010, and by May 5, 2013 was diagnosed with a brain tumor. On June 10, she began chemotherapy and other medications, including methotrexate, cisplatin, and morphine, which caused debilitating side effects. Surgery saved her life, but also caused some paralysis on her right side.

Dahlia's mother Moriah learned about Dr. Sean McAllister's research showing that the combination of THC and CBD inhibited glioblastoma tumors. The Barnharts moved from Florida to Colorado in December 2013, and Dahlia began taking about a gram per day of CBD-rich cannabis oil (Cascio). "I saw almost immediate responses cognitively; she developed into a normal 3-year-old from a very critically ill child almost overnight," Barnhart said. According to a May 22, 2015 post on the CannaBabies: Dahlia Strong page, Dahlia is doing well and the tumor is stable or perhaps even just dead mass. The family is preparing to return to Florida ("CannaBabies").
An October 22, 2013 interview with Jeremy Kigar discussed his experience with Grade IV astrocytoma, also known as glioblastoma ("Brain Tumors"). Following his diagnosis, Jeremy was quickly started on 16 medications, including antibiotics, antidepressants, narcotics, and more. After learning about cannabis oil, Jeremy started taking a half gram per day for three weeks. Within five days, significant changes occurred in his overall wellness. He reduced pharmaceutical medications tremendously, including eradication of opiates and antidepressants. Jeremy denied further chemotherapy and used cannabis oil in 90-day cycles, which controlled his cancer. It was only after beginning THC-rich cannabis oil that Jeremy’s tumor began to shrink. At the time of filming, the tumor had reduced from Grade IV to Grade II.

A young patient named Jonah Allen was diagnosed in 2013 with an inoperable glioma tumor on the left side of his brain ("Denver Medical"). He began using cannabis oil with substantial levels of THC and CBD. A video posted July 21, 2014 shared that Jonah was continuing to do well with his cannabis extract treatment (Allen). Doctors said Jonah's tumor would only grow and he would need to receive chemotherapy for the rest of his life. Using cannabis extracts as his sole treatment, Jonah’s tumor began to shrink. As of May 2015, Jonah is continuing to fight his cancer with cannabis.
A September 9, 2014 video from NBCNews.com featured a young child named Leah Merklin. She was using cannabis oil to treat diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma, one of the most aggressive and fatal brain tumors ("Cannabis Oil"). After diagnosis, she was given 6-12 months to live; doctors said it would likely be closer to 6. The cancer had wrapped around her brainstem, which caused numerous complications including movement and eye problems. Her parents, Eric and Bethany, began administering cannabis oil to her, and at time of filming had worked her up to almost a gram per day. The family quickly saw incredible benefits. Leah began walking, jumping, and going back to school. From January 2014 to July, there was no growth in the cancer. Leah’s doctors support her use of cannabis medicine.

A June 5, 2014 article reported the progress of Taylor Rehmeyer, a 15-year-old patient (Toledanes). Diagnosed at 6 years old, the tumor returned three times. Radiation was only partially effective for shrinking the tumor. In August 2013, it began growing again, and Taylor’s
mother Karen turned to cannabis oil. She built up her dosage to one gram per day for three months. The psychoactivity of the medicine was especially intense for Taylor and induced hallucinations, but the maintenance dose she currently takes does not seem to create such effects. “She got an MRI (in May) that said no major abnormalities. The doctors at Seattle Children’s Hospital don’t want to admit it was cannabis, but I don’t care. She’s cancer-free,” said Karen.

Kelly Hauf is a 52-year-old woman who used cannabis oil to successfully eliminate a brain tumor (Hauf). She was initially diagnosed on January 18, 2000. Due to slow growth, her surgeon said it would be okay to postpone surgery and monitor the tumor every three months. After a little over three years the tumor grew significantly, and Kelly had surgery on September 4, 2003. At this point the cancer was determined to be Grade II Oligodendrogliaoma.

Kelly continued to have MRIs every three months, until a November 2013 report showed regrowth of the tumor. Her doctor suggested 4 to 6 months of chemotherapy. Kelly conducted extensive research and decided to try cannabis oil before the chemotherapy. Her neuro-oncologist supported her choice and allowed her to move forward. Kelly began taking the oil in early 2014. Her intention was to work up to a gram per day by April. While she was unable to reach that dosage level, the smaller doses stopped the main tumor’s growth and eliminated a smaller tumor that had been present since Kelly’s first surgery ten years before. This success encouraged Kelly to continue with the oil therapy; over the next few months she attained her desired dosage level.

For the final two weeks before her second MRI in August 2014, Kelly was on two grams per day. The test showed the remaining tumor was only dead scar tissue. In addition to taking cannabis oil, Kelly used supplements, meditation, yoga, organic juicing, and other natural healing techniques to achieve her incredible results. A statement from Kelly described additional benefits she experienced from cannabis oil:
"After establishing residency in San Francisco, I was able to get a medical marijuana card. The card was for cancer treatment but, amazingly, the cannabis oil has helped me with my fibromyalgia pain, joint pain, and chronic headaches. I had this pain for many years and it was getting worse. I literally have no pain now. My blood pressure had been creeping up over the years and was consistently pre-hypertensive, now it’s consistently on the low side of normal. I have not taken any other medication except the cannabis oil, supplements, and good clean healthy food over the last 8 months."

A December 24, 2014 article shared the story of Patricia Crone, a scholar who worked as an Islam historian at the Institute for Advanced Study (Arntzenius). The article also announced the screening of a documentary about her battle with cancer. The film, titled *For the Life of Me: Between Science and the Law*, intimately conveyed Patricia's experience. In November 2011, Patricia was diagnosed with lung cancer that had metastasized to her brain. With a poor outlook, she looked to cannabis oil as an alternative therapy. Her academic background impelled her to research the medicine methodically and skeptically.

She read about "Rick Simpson Oil" and claims that cannabis extracts could kill cancer. Seeing that even the National Cancer Institute posted evidence about the anti-cancer activity of cannabinoids, Patricia decided to try it. Remarkably, she acquired cannabis and made the oil herself. Hoping that cannabis oil would shrink her tumors, Patricia delayed whole brain radiation (WBR) for seven months. The growth and proliferation of her tumors decreased, but she still decided to undergo WBR for additional anticancer action. Unfortunately, this had little effect on her tumors, with devastating side effects. Following WBR Patricia also used Avastin, an angiogenesis inhibitor, which apparently was more effective and tolerable than the radiation.
According to her sister, the producer of *For the Life of Me*, Diana C. Frank, as of Thanksgiving 2014 Patricia's tumors were gone. However, her brain was severely damaged by the radiation, and Patricia needed to take an anti-seizure medication to deal with the radiation-induced seizures. On the whole, she was severely weakened. Tragically, Patricia passed away on July 11, 2015.

Having watched Patricia's experience from the beginning, Diana believes the decision to use radiation was a mistake. Indeed, just as the decision to use cannabis oil can be risky due to a lack of research, the decision to use conventional therapies also carries major risks as well. Although cannabis was not as effective as Patricia had hoped, her ultimate desire is that her experience and the film will promote research into the endocannabinoid system.

A page for the film can be found at http://www.forthelifeofmefilm.com.

A February 3, 2015 article briefly described the work of a Filipino oil maker known as Juan Pedro (Jambora). He produces CBD-rich cannabis oil for patients in the Philippines. In the article he stated, "It [cannabis oil] starves the cancer, but not all cancers. I don’t want to call it a cure. But this could be an effective treatment… I’ve seen it stop a brain tumor, in a medication supervised by medical doctors."

Jeff Ditchfield, an experienced cannabis extract producer, author, activist, and lecturer, has treated dozens of cancer patients with cannabis oil. In an interview he shared the following information:

"Your choice of strain depends very much on the cancer you are treating. Many conditions such as lung cancer respond well to high THC oils, however, if you are treating Gliomas or any form
of brain tumour then we have found that a 1:1 THC/CBD strain is the most effective" ("Project Storm").

On September 29, 2014, Insys Therapeutics announced that the United States Food and Drug Administration had granted orphan drug designation to their pharmaceutical CBD product for directly treating glioma ("Insys Therapeutics"). Orphan drugs are intended to treat diseases affecting less than 200,000 people. For the FDA to approve an ODD application, there must be plausible scientific evidence that the drug in question could be effective. Therefore, the FDA’s approval of Insys’ application is a tacit admission by part of the United States government that a cannabinoid could fight a form of cancer in humans.

The CEO of InSys Therapeutics, Michael Babich, stated, "We are pleased to have received broad orphan drug designation for CBD to treat glioma. We will most likely focus initially on pontine glioma, or PG, which has multiple similarities with glioblastoma multiforme, for which our pharmaceutical CBD was granted ODD last month. We believe that this product has excellent potential as treatment for PG, and look forward to advancing its development and offering a potential efficacious treatment for patients."
Breast Cancer

A video posted by the dispensary River Rock in Colorado on September 8, 2013 elaborated on a woman named Karen’s experience ("RRTV//Patient Stories"). In April 2011, Karen was diagnosed with Stage III breast cancer in her left breast. She went through eight treatments of chemotherapy, and endured several surgeries. In February 2012, Karen found out the cancer had become metastatic, spreading to her breast bone, hip bone, liver, and lymph nodes, thus making it Stage IV.

Karen learned about cannabis oil treatment from her son and eventually met Tony Verzura, who told them about his Advanced Cannabinoid Therapy program. On May 1st, Karen had her first appointment with River Rock and began CBD-rich treatments. Karen tracked her progress with CA-15 cancer antigen tests. She noted that once she incorporated cannabis extracts with traditional treatments, the test counts dropped significantly.

Around the middle of the A.C.T program, Karen stated her CA-15 score was 25; under 30 is the baseline for a normal person. The blood tests remained below normal for a significant period of time, so she began moving away from traditional treatments. Karen explained to her doctor the sophistication of modern cannabis extract programs, and he expressed support for her treatment. She also asked if it would be necessary to sign something for refusing further conventional treatment, but the oncologist said doing so was not needed due to her progress.

Karen provided an update in an October 16, 2013 video ("RRTV Patient"). She reported the cancer was completely gone as determined by a PET scan. "I killed Stage IV cancer by using River Rock’s A.C.T. Now program, and the nutritional support that I gathered for myself."
A September 26, 2014 article in an Australia newspaper elaborated on Susannah Patch's recovery from metastatic breast cancer (Kirkwood, "Cannabis Oil"). She fought cancer for years before finding relief with cannabis oil.

In July 2011, Susannah was diagnosed with Grade III invasive duct carcinoma in her left breast. The tumor was quickly removed along with two lymph nodes, which revealed metastasized cancer. In August 2011, doctors removed 10 more lymph nodes and Susannah began four weeks of radiotherapy. She was clean for a year until pain in her right hip led to the revelation of a bone metastasis, along with cancer in her lungs and spine. Two more weeks of radiotherapy in December 2012 were prescribed, but doctors said her condition was terminal.

Susannah began taking oral chemotherapy to halt the cancer’s progress, but it was ineffective and the disease continued to spread as of June 2013. She started intravenous chemotherapy in August 2013, which doctors said would last for as many months as she lived. It was at this time that she also began ingesting large quantities of cannabis oil.

By October 2013, tests showed a "marked improvement" in lungs and chest space, although she still had metastases in her hip and spine. Susannah stopped chemotherapy in December, placing her full faith in cannabis oil. The article states:

"Her most recent CT scan report, dated July 7 [2014], says her lungs are stable with 'residual scarring at the site of previous lung metastases'. 'These have not progressed and are stable in appearance,' the report says.

'Sclerotic lesions' in her spine, sternum and ribs are stable, 'reasonably extensive bony metastases in the pelvis are stable in appearance' and no 'definite bony metastases' in her femora were detected despite a dedicated CT scan. Ms Patch said she was continuing to take a much smaller daily dose of cannabis oil and was looking forward to her next check-up."
A February 2015 article described the experience of Stefanie LaRue, who battled breast cancer for a significant portion of her life (Renea). After several misdiagnoses, she was diagnosed with Stage IV metastatic breast cancer in 2005. Although she was given at most a year to live, she eliminated the cancer with a combination of diet, supplements, acupuncture, fitness, chemotherapy, and surgery.

Unfortunately, the cancer returned two more times. After the third recurrence in 2013, Stefanie decided not to do chemotherapy and instead only use cannabis oil. “Cannabis oil killed all of the tumors in my body. My monthly lab and quarterly scan results are proof that the cannabis oil treatment worked,” said Stefanie. She now takes a half gram maintenance dose of cannabis oil; as of her last scan in December 2014, she is still cancer free.

Stefanie appeared in a March 23, 2015 video from BBC News, which explored the topic of cannabis as a direct treatment for cancer ("Can Cannabis Oil").
A professional herbalist and cannabis oil producer named Robin Swan treats patients in California through her business Firebird Touch Therapy. She wrote the foreword for *Taking Control*, a book by Carol Smith which chronicled Carol’s endeavor to naturally heal her husband of invasive bladder cancer (Sade). In the book, Robin discussed a woman with breast cancer for whom no traditional therapies worked, including chemotherapy, radiation, diet, and herbs. After being sent home to live out her remaining time, the woman began using cannabis oil as a last resort. In just a few months, she was pronounced cancer free for the first time in twelve years. Robin is pictured below.
A California-based organization called Heavens 2 Betsey posted an article on April 9, 2014 about a woman's experience helping her grandmother fight Stage IV breast cancer, which had metastasized to her lungs and throat ("Spreading Awareness"). Doctors said chemotherapy could manage the cancer and maybe shrink it, but the disease would eventually kill her.

The patient’s granddaughter helped her grandmother get started on a combination of concentrated oils, CBD-rich lozenges, tinctures, edibles, topicals, and vaporized oils. When chemotherapy was completed after a few months, the patient was placed on estrogen blockers and anti-nausea medications while continuing the oil. She soon underwent a new scan which surprised the doctors – the largest tumor around her esophagus had disappeared completely and small nodules remaining in her lungs appeared reduced in size. Doctors declared the patient in remission.

A page on CancerPatientsRights.com featured testimony from Laura DeVille-Sherman (DeVille-Sherman). She turned to cannabis oil after being diagnosed with cancer for the third time. In this case, it was Stage IV breast cancer with metastases to areas of bone and liver. There were so many tumors present the doctors stopped counting.

Laura was told to get her affairs in order due to the severity of the cancer. Instead of following this course, she worked up to a gram per day of cannabis oil. Eventually, a PET scan revealed no cancer. While a couple of shadows remained on a CAT scan, the improvement was lifesaving. The only side effect was the amount "wonderful quality" of sleep Laura experienced, which is generally a part of the healing process. Laura confirmed to the author that a July 2014 scan revealed she is cancer free. As of June 2015, Laura is still doing well.

A November 2012 post on the forum GrassCity.com provided information on a man's experience using cannabis oil as a last resort to treat his wife's terminal metastatic breast cancer.
As of May 12, 2013 the woman was doing well, but there have been no further updates.

Colon Cancer

Lindsey Martin, a resident of Cape Town, South Africa, was diagnosed with cancer on September 7, 2011 (Martin). A few weeks later, it was determined to be Stage III colon cancer, with metastases to lymph nodes and one spot on her liver. After a tumor in Lindsey's colon was surgically removed, she was scheduled for once-weekly chemotherapy for 30 weeks.

The chemotherapy started on October 14, 2011. It took a great toll on Lindsey; she said it "sucked the life out of me." The only times she felt better were when friends brought around cannabis and she was able to smoke some. The plant enabled her to enjoy food, sleep better, and feel an overall sense of wellness. Lindsey conveyed this to her doctor, who instructed her to take in as much cannabis as she could.

On February 15, 2012, halfway through the chemotherapy sessions, Lindsey went in for a CT scan. In addition to chemotherapy, Lindsey was eating an organic vegetarian diet, berry smoothies, brown rice, green foods, and supplements like Vitamin C, green and hemp powders, spirulina, milk thistle, and sodium bicarbonate. Despite everything, her condition had worsened. She had four lesions on her liver, a 2.8cm stone in her gall bladder, and a cyst on her kidney, all of which had been caused by the chemotherapy. Due to this, Lindsey decided to cease the conventional treatment.

After hearing about the potential of cannabis oil to treat cancer from people on Facebook, Lindsey began using cannabis medicine. She was able to quickly find cannabis oil in her community, and began taking it in small doses. Lindsey consumed 18 grams of the oil in 46 days. She continued eating a healthy diet and ingesting greens-rich supplements.

On March 28, 2012, Lindsey underwent a range of medical tests, then met with four doctors who declared she had no signs of any cancer in her body. Lindsey stated, "Lastly, I have
to say that my cancer diagnosis was a true blessing …it facilitated a change within me on every level – emotionally, physically, mentally and spiritually…it formed an unbreakable bond of love and support with my husband. I’ve become brave and confident in my own power to heal myself…and this is truly the biggest blessing…and I really want to help inspire others to do the same…”

Unfortunately, Lindsey Martin passed away on May 28, 2014 from complications of bowel surgery. She remained cancer-free at the time of her death, and the surgery was unrelated to cancer. The author and many friends were shocked that Lindsey would pass in this manner after fighting so hard to successfully beat colon cancer. Her spirit lives on in the people she helped and inspired.

Leukemia & Lymphoma

Mykayla Comstock, a child patient, attracted significant attention related to her battle with cancer. In July 2012, Mykayla was diagnosed with T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Lupkin). She began receiving chemotherapy at Randall Children's Hospital in Portland, Oregon.

At first, Mykayla was not responding well to the treatments, and doctors said a bone marrow transplant may be necessary. Her family began administering cannabis oil on July 24, 2012 to attempt to kill the cancer and counter the side effects of chemotherapy ("Her Treatment"). After starting oil, Mykayla was instantly able to eat again. She smiled and laughed a lot. Overall, she was much happier.

One week after beginning the oil treatment, Mykayla's physicians reported that the leukemia was gone from her bone marrow and blood; she was in remission and no longer needed a bone marrow transplant. Over the next several years, Mykayla underwent maintenance chemotherapy as required by law. Cannabis oil reduced the side effects and virtually eliminated
her need for conventional pain and nausea medications. By May 2015, Mykayla's chemotherapy was completed and she began having her chemo port removed ("Brave Mykayla").

The November 2013 issue of DOPE Magazine shared Silas Tedesco's healing experience (Condon Jr.). Silas was diagnosed with Precursor B acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and began chemotherapy shortly after diagnosis. The conventional treatments were very harsh and caused general sickness, insomnia, and a nearly eight-week period of immobility. Silas’ doctor then recommended CBD-rich cannabis oil. As the article concludes,

"After only eight days on cannabis, Silas began to walk, talk, smile and play again. It was a complete turnaround. Silas is now in remission and running around like an average two-year old. His leukemia is in remission and is showing improvements every day."
A May 2013 video detailed Elias Cooper’s experience using cannabis oil for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (Cooper, "Cannabis HEALS"). Once diagnosed with CLL, Elias desperately wanted to avoid chemotherapy, as it sounded scary to go through. His doctors said as long as his white blood cell count remained under a certain level, they could postpone chemotherapy.

Elias did not take any measures to fight the cancer until his white blood cell count rose high enough to where chemotherapy became an option. He then researched alternative methods and came upon the story of Brave Mykayla, discussed above. Her experience was compelling enough to convince him to try cannabis oil.

After three and a half weeks of taking homemade cannabis oil (produced by his wife Debra) every day, Elias went to the doctor for a blood test. His white blood cell count had dropped by 40,000, which was "life changing news". No other treatments, such as chemotherapy or pharmaceuticals, were used.

Elias provided an update on November 17, 2013 (Cooper, "Cannabis Heals"). Interestingly, the details of his case correlate strongly with the Case Reports in Oncology article explored in the Leukemia & Lymphoma section in the previous part of this book. As Elias described, his white blood cell count went up during times he was not using cannabis oil or was using less potent oil, but when he again procured high-quality THC-rich oil, his count fell dramatically. In the journal case, the patient also experienced increases and decreases in cancer
cell levels under similar circumstances. At some point in 2014, Elias added conventional chemotherapy to his treatment. As of June 2015 he appears to be doing very well. The author met him in May 2014, and he is a truly humorous and awesome person.

Logan Ewell, a child patient, was diagnosed with B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia on April 16, 2009, and quickly began chemotherapy treatments (Volpo). Given that the lymphoblasts were only present in Logan’s blood and bone marrow, aggressive therapy was not initially needed. While chemotherapy kept the cancer at bay for two years, in March 2011 tests showed that lymphoblasts had spread to Logan’s spinal fluid. Therefore, Logan required an increase in chemotherapy and the addition of radiation. These treatments also apparently kept the cancer under control, until June 2013 when a second relapse occurred.

In October 2013, Logan was admitted to Mott’s Children’s Hospital to receive a bone marrow transplant, which ultimately proved ineffective. On January 13, 2014, doctors at the University of Michigan stated there were no more options, and advised the family to seek out hospice care for the next three to twelve months.

Immediately after hearing the terminal diagnosis, Kimberly, Logan’s mother, began taking steps to procure cannabis oil. She knew it was an option but had not seriously considered it until there was nothing else to try. Logan’s first dose of only 0.025 grams resulted in extreme sleepiness but also quickly impacted his lymphoblast count, which dropped eight percent in four days. He maintained a 0.05 grams per day dosage until his next blood tests, which showed rising blast counts. Kimberly then obtained a much more concentrated oil, and after another four day period Logan’s blast count began falling again.

On January 28, 2014, Logan was hospitalized for graft-versus-host disease, an organ transplant-related disorder. His cannabis oil dose was increased to 2 grams per day during his hospital stay, which resulted in further reduction in lymphoblast counts. However, Logan’s liver enzymes became abnormally elevated, a potential consequence of using isopropyl alcohol-derived cannabis oil. After switching to 190-proof grain alcohol-derived cannabis oil, Logan’s
liver enzymes slowly normalized; however, it is unknown whether the solvent was the actual cause. By April 2014 Logan was pronounced cancer free, and began quickly recovering from the lingering side effects of chemotherapy.

Several months after his primary remission, testicular cancer appeared. Logan continued to use cannabis extract medicine for treatment. It is not apparent whether conventional chemotherapy was added. In any case, as of May 2015, Logan was still doing well.

Lauranne Ackelson is a 6-year old girl who was diagnosed with acute myeloid leukemia three times in her life ("Roar Away"). She endured lengthy hospital stays, two bone marrow transplants, and reached a lifetime max for chemo. Her family decided there had to be something better, and found cannabis oil. Since July 2013, Lauranne has been on one gram of cannabis oil per day, which finally led to her continued remission. She was on chemotherapy when she started the oil but the traditional treatment was apparently discontinued.

Lauranne's story is also mentioned in a news article about children using cannabis in Oregon ("Kids Using"). "Her blood work has been the best we’ve ever seen since starting retreatment in 2010," Kaleena Brianne, Lauranne’s mom, reports. "When we fought this monster the first time, we were inpatient a total of 144 days… but [after cannabis] this time around our total stay was 72 – a drop of 50%. The doctors were impressed with the fact that her bone marrow aspirate also showed no leukemia cells in the marrow."
Landon Riddle's fight against leukemia was chronicled by Dr. Sanjay Gupta of CNN in an August 17, 2013 video (Jammers). Dr. Gupta and Sierra Riddle, Landon’s mother, described the severity of his condition. At 2, he was diagnosed with leukemia. Doctors immediately started intense chemotherapy, but said even with that, he only had around an 8% chance of living 24-48 hours.

Dr. Gupta stated, "The chemo made him violently ill. He was in intense pain, he suffered nerve damage in his legs, and he went 25 days without eating." Around the clock, he was on a wide variety of pharmaceuticals and painkillers. Sierra then learned about medicinal cannabis, and found Realm of Caring. As soon as he began cannabis oil, Landon’s blood platelet count improved substantially, returning to a healthy level. At the end of the video, Dr. Gupta stated Landon had been off chemotherapy for three weeks, and that Sierra said Landon’s cancer was in remission. As of June 2015, Landon has remained in remission by only using cannabis oil. The author met him in April 2015, and given his level of energy it is virtually impossible to tell he ever had cancer.
A September 23, 2014 article in an Australian newspaper talked about the use of cannabis extracts to treat cancer in the Hunter region of New South Wales (Kirkwood, "Claims"). The article was written because so many people reached out to the paper with research and success stories.

"Advocates – including people who have been treated, or helped treat family members with cannabis products for cancer and other illnesses – say their experiences speak for themselves. One Central Coast woman – whose story was typical of those the Herald contacted – said her daughter, then 25, was diagnosed with leukaemia in mid-2012 and declared in remission, with clear blood, just months later. 'She did have chemotherapy but the doctors couldn’t believe how quickly she recovered,' the woman said yesterday. 'And as for the nausea of chemotherapy, while all the others in the unit were vomiting and sick, she was fine with it all. The doctors didn’t really want to know about the cannabis, and just said whatever you’re doing, keep doing it because it’s working.'"
Joe Crowe described his recovery from cancer in a June 2012 video ("Hemp Oil"). Joe had a fist-sized tumor in his upper chest, diagnosed as Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Over the course of twelve years, he received chemotherapy and bone marrow transplants which failed to eliminate the cancer. In the fall of 2011, Joe was connected with a caregiver in Michigan and began receiving cannabis oil. Within three months of cannabis oil treatment, Joe felt his tumor begin to shrink. In five months, he was cancer free.

On December 4, 2013, Joe released an update video stating he is still cancer free after a year (O’Toole). He emphasized the importance of continuing to take a maintenance dose of oil to prevent cancer from returning, especially due to the large amount of environmental toxins humans are exposed to daily. Joe now helps other people make and use cannabis oil therapeutically. At the end of the video, he recounted an experience with a Stage IV cancer patient who went into remission by using self-made oil.

Joanne Crowther shared her powerful cancer remission story on the steps of the Vancouver Art Gallery on August 25, 2013 (Crowther). She also spoke with the author extensively about her experience and shared supporting documents.

Joanne was diagnosed with large B-cell lymphoma in summer 2009. The lymphoma was eliminated with chemotherapy in February 2010. The treatment caused significant nausea, vomiting, febrile neutropenia (fever resulting from abnormally low white blood cell count), and
pneumonia, which required hospitalization. In March 2010, a brain MRI revealed several cancerous lesions. These were resolved by April 2010 with whole brain radiation, as indicated by a post-treatment MRI.

For a year-and-a-half Joanne did very well, even participating in a half-marathon. However, in November 2011 a mass developed in her left thigh. Doctors removed the 3.2 x 2.5cm mass and determined it was consistent with diffuse large B-cell intravascular lymphoma. The removal of this mass did not eliminate the lymphoma.

In early January 2012, Joanne began receiving medications to treat complications of the cancer. Furthermore, another head CT scan revealed a 6mm cancerous lesion in her left superior pons. The test also confirmed resolution of the previous lesions in her right thalamus and basal ganglia. Shortly after the 3.2 x 2.5cm mass excision, Joanne noticed regrowth of some mass in the same area. She received five shots of radiation in January for the new growth.

More scans in February 2012 revealed new adrenal cancerous nodules. Joanne began taking the chemotherapy drugs cisplatin and cytabarine to combat the cancers. She was then hospitalized between April 23, 2012 and May 3, 2012 due to acute renal failure and hepatitis, which had been induced by cisplatin and cytabarine respectively. The complications permanently ended Joanne’s chemotherapy regimen, which stopped in late April. Joanne was then diagnosed with relapsed intravascular diffuse large B-cell lymphoma along with leptomeningeal disease.

Without the strength to endure more chemotherapy or radiation, doctors could do nothing more, and Joanne was forced to try an alternative treatment. In early May, she began taking cannabis oil. She started off with small rice-grain sized doses, but after a week felt no effects. She then upped her dosage to a gram of oil per day, and within two weeks noticed positive results. Overall, she felt better and had a greater appetite. On July 30, 2012, Joanne had a follow-up examination. Documentation related to the examination is below. It describes how Joanne was doing much better since being off chemotherapy. It also noted that her left thigh mass "actually regressed spontaneously."
Another exam from September 24, 2012 stated Joanne was in remission. The report also noted that she had been taking regular hemp oil supplements (referring to cannabis oil, not hemp seed oil).
Performance Status 1.

**DIAGNOSIS**
Relapsed intravascular diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with CNS leptomeningeal disease and soft tissue involvement since November 2011.

Status post DHAP for 3 cycles, last one in April 2012 and it was stopped because of liver and renal toxicity as well as requirement for admission and febrile neutropenia.

History of whole brain radiation in April 2010 and also again for the left thigh in June 2012.

She is now off chemotherapy and she is on regular follow-ups only with evaluation of her left thigh mass which she is in remission right now.

**CLINICAL STATUS**
Miss Crowther comes back to the clinic today after being seen in July 2012. She was feeling much better while she has been off chemotherapy and continues to improve. Her last thigh mass, she feels that it has regressed and there are no new masses on her body. Her left facial palsy improved a bit and she says that she can still do some blinking. She has no diplopia and no new weakness or pain. She is not using any pain medications right now. The only thing she is taking is some supplements and naturopath treatment. She is on regular hemp oil supplements.

On examination she looks totally well. She is not diseased. Eye movements are normal. She still has left lower facial weakness. She still can smile but it is still obvious. She has no other lymphadenopathy and her left thigh mass has become very deep and below there is some scar tissue. Chest is clear and abdominal examination is normal. No lower limb edema.

**INVESTIGATIONS**
Her latest blood work was on September 18, 2012. White blood cell 6.4, hemoglobin 113, and platelets 144. LDH 194, white cells normal and creatinine is stable at 1.46. Her LFT shows AST of 50, mildly high and gamma GT 190 which is mildly high, but no result for ALT.

**ASSESSMENT AND PLAN**
Miss Crowther continues to be in remission for the meantime with stable disease. She will continue being off chemotherapy and she will continue to take her naturopath treatment. We
Further documentation of Joanne’s final appointments is recorded below. There are a few key things to note. First, there is an error in the line, "She is taking certain organic oils, which rob her of appetite, according to the patient." Upon inquiry of this odd statement, Joanne informed the author this was an error. She had actually said it helped her appetite, but for some reason it was incorrectly recorded. A previous document also misstated that she received radiation in June 2012, which did not happen. These documents include the record of Joanne being told she was looking at a palliative situation after abandoning chemotherapy. Finally, and most importantly, they record her clinical remission, with Dr. John W. S. Yun stating, "She made miraculous recovery with stable clinical condition with no further cranial nerve palsy."
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This is a 46-year-old lady who first presented with diffuse intravascular B-cell lymphoma involving kidney. She required high-dose chemotherapy with etoposide. She has been serially followed. Unfortunately she developed left meningeal disease with cranial nerve palsy including left facial nerve palsy. She required intrathecal chemotherapy and was transferred to BC Cancer Agency for ongoing care. She received 2 doses of high-dose methotrexate. Unfortunately her clinical course was complicated with acute renal failure, followed by acute hepatitis. She was found to have hepatitis C, eradication. After 2 cycles of methotrexate, it was felt that she could not tolerate it and the chemotherapy. She was told that we are looking at a palliative situation.

Interestingly she has improved. She has no progression in terms of cranial nerve palsy. She has no headache, visual problems. She has slight improvement in the left facial nerve palsy.

She is still losing weight gradually. She attributes this to a change in diet, according to the naturopathic doctor recommendations. She is taking certain organic oils, which rob her of appetite, according to the patient.

She has good recovery from acute renal failure. Creatinine clearance is 38 mg/min, normal being greater than 59. She had liver biopsy last week. Consideration for interferon therapy. CEC is 125, white cells normal, platelet count borderline at 140. The rest of the general exam is normal.

She has no palpable mass head and neck, axilla. Chest sounds normal to IPA. Abdominal examination shows flat abdomen, no palpable mass. There was a concern for nodule on the right chest and this is normal. No other lymphadenopathy.
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ASSESSMENT

She is in clinical remission. She is relying heavily on naturopathic medication. She is being considered for interferon, but for now in terms of B-cell lymphoma, things have settled. She has declined further chemotherapy. She will be followed serially clinically with some blood work.

Thanks again for having me involved in this patient's care.
Given that all vertebrates have endocannabinoid systems, it is not surprising the benefits of cannabis extend to animals. A November 22, 2013 article featured several people using cannabis to help their pets ("Seen At"). Rowyn Capers discussed how her dog Luna was suffering immensely from late-stage lymphoma and side effects of chemotherapy. Rowyn began giving Luna cannabis oil in capsules; she found as she increased dosage, her dog's symptoms improved. Vomiting and diarrhea stopped, and Luna's general condition got better. Rowyn said the results were remarkable. "When you see them enjoying life and feeling better and not being sick you know you’ve hit something," she said.
A patent published on December 23, 2004 described the use of CB$_2$ agonists, including THC, for the treatment of malignancies like leukemia or lymphoma ("Patent US20040259936").

**Liver Cancer**

Michael Cutler's life was significantly extended with cannabis oil. In 2009, Michael was diagnosed with liver cancer, which was eliminated with a liver transplant ("Mike Cutler's"). In late 2012, the disease returned, and Michael was sent home to die with "a big bag of morphine."
For at least a couple months, he was bedridden and substantially disoriented from the morphine. In a moment of clarity, Michael decided he did not want to die and began looking for alternatives.

He found a video about Rick Simpson on YouTube, who described how to kill cancer with cannabis oil. Michael took Rick’s advice and produced his own oil. Within three days of consuming the oil, Michael stopped using morphine. His doctor was more interested in why he did not suffer opiate withdrawals than in his use of cannabis oil. Michael continued to feel better as he sustained his intake of oil.

There was a scare when Michael apparently began coughing up blood. He went to the doctor, who was uncertain about the material’s nature but stated it was not blood. Michael’s wife purchased him a microscope and software package so he could personally analyze the mass. After taking a picture and using the software’s assistance, he determined it was dead cancer cells. Michael then returned to the Royal Free Hospital in London in May 2014, where a biopsy found he no longer had cancer. His total treatment time was between three and four months.

Michael spoke about his healing experience at the launch of United Patients Alliance, a United Kingdom-based organization dedicated to legalizing medicinal cannabis, on July 17, 2014 (“Michael Cutler”).
Tragically, Michael passed away on December 3, 2014 ("Timeline Photos"). United Patients Alliance announced he had developed lung cancer and was unable to procure more cannabis oil. As has generally been observed, especially with aggressive cancers, it is imperative to stay on a maintenance dose of cannabis oil, as residual cancer cells often lay dormant waiting to reproliferate. Ensuring safe access to cannabis extracts will help prevent tragedies like this.

Michael referred the author to the case of Steve Danks, who healed himself of terminal liver cancer with cannabis extract therapy. Steve contracted hepatitis C in his 20s from a blood transfusion, which eventually led to cirrhosis of the liver and cancer (Liosatos). He traveled to India and began juicing cannabis, which is an efficient method of ingesting large quantities of nonpsychoactive THCA and CBDA.

Within 17 days, he felt and looked much better. After three months he returned home, having improved considerably during his trip. Steve then began taking cannabis oil and apparently stopped juicing. This protocol lasted for a summer. Steve’s last medical test over 15 months ago (from the time of the interview) showed no liver cancer, no nodules in the liver, and no scar tissue. Steve insisted his doctor put cannabis use in his medical notes.
Ed Moore was diagnosed with Stage IV liver cancer in March 2012 after going to the ER for pain (Lovere; Moore). The doctor said not much could be done, as his tumor was 7 inches long. Furthermore, the cancer had spread to his lymph nodes. He was given two weeks to two months to live, put on hospice, and prescribed morphine for pain. Ed hated the drug, as it made him vomit and adversely affected his mind.

Interestingly, two of Ed's friends from different parts of California who did not even know each other happened to bring him cannabis oil on the same day. They told him he needed to get started on it. Ed was treated by his wife Beate, who began by switching his opiate medication with cannabis oil every other day. She had learned online that "a gram per day" was around the dosage level needed to kill cancer, but at first the goal was just to get Ed off the pain pills. Within three weeks, he was able to completely manage his pain with the sole use of cannabis oil. Ed did not have any problems with psychoactivity; that which he did experience diminished very quickly as he built a tolerance. He took a half gram dose four times a day in capsules.

About half a year into treatment, Ed came down with what he and Beate thought was the flu. This led him to get a scan to check on the cancer, which revealed the primary tumor had shrunk from 11.5cm to 5cm. The cancer in his lymph nodes had also regressed. Ed then began feeling better and gaining weight. Beate theorized the flu-like reaction may have been a healing crisis and potential result of the cancer dying off.

As of April 2015, Ed was still fighting the remains of his liver tumor, but for three years has had a wonderful quality of life with no pain. He has only employed natural treatment methods with no conventional modalities. In addition to cannabis oil, Ed used over 20 nutritional supplements and primarily consumed an organic vegetarian diet. He also juiced fresh cannabis buds and leaves. Ed and Beate believe that nutrition is integral to the success of cannabis extract therapy. Finally, Ed emphasized the importance of working closely with a doctor, even if chemotherapy and radiation are not being pursued. Medical guidance is crucial for understanding whether one is responding to cannabis oil or not.
Lung Cancer

A January 2012 video featured three patients who used cannabis oil to overcome various diseases ("Medical Marijuana"). The third patient, Jeff, found out he had five tumors on his left lung, diagnosed as mesothelioma in July 2011. He learned about cannabis oil from Mike Stone, the first patient in the video. After Mike showed Jeff how to make his own cannabis oil, he began treating himself by putting two drops on his tongue every morning with an eyedropper.

On December 21, 2011, Jeff was told all his tumors were gone and he was cancer free. "Best Christmas present I ever had," remarked Jeff. He also stopped taking his blood pressure medication, as his pressure had normalized. In addition, the oil controlled Jeff’s diabetes; his sugar levels never rose above 110. He discontinued his allergy and cholesterol medicines as well. Jeff still had some breathing problems as a result of emphysema, but overall felt his life had drastically improved.
Michelle Aldrich, a cannabis activist who was instrumental to passing the medical cannabis law in California, used cannabis oil in her fight against lung cancer. Her cancer experience began on November 15, 2011, when Michelle missed a lunch appointment because she felt too sick. On November 22nd, Michelle went to the doctor and was evaluated by a physician’s assistant named Sally Holland. Michelle received a chest x-ray and was given antibiotics for bronchitis, although the following day she was informed she had pneumonia. Michelle returned on November 30th, when she was told she had a growth on her right lung.

Over the next couple months, Michelle went to several appointments to determine a solid diagnosis. A CT scan on December 23rd revealed a 23 x 28mm lung tumor. A growth on her left kidney was also observed. On January 12, 2012, Michelle was notified by Dr. Gary Feldman, her primary care physician, that the cancer was poorly differentiated non-small cell adenocarcinoma. Michelle immediately started reaching out to friends for support. One of the first people she spoke with was Dr. Donald Abrams, a long-time friend. Dr. Abrams appeared in the documentary American Drug War 2, mentioned above in the story about Cash Hyde, to talk about medicinal cannabis. Dr. Andrew Weil, another friend of Michelle, offered help and support. These people, along with other oncologists, were Michelle’s "dream team".

In mid-January, Michelle spoke with Jeannie Herer, widow of the late Jack Herer, one of the most well-known cannabis activists in history. Towards the end of his life, Jack became a major supporter of Rick Simpson, a pioneer of using cannabis oil to treat cancer. Jeannie told Michelle to begin using "Rick Simpson oil" to help fight the cancer. Michelle acquired oil from Valerie Corral, founder of the Wo/Men’s Alliance for Medical Marijuana. Valerie calls her medicine "Milagro oil" (Spanish for miracle). Michelle received her first batch of milagro oil on January 21, 2012. Three days later, a PET scan showed Michelle’s tumor had grown to 30 x 31mm. This measurement could have been either a "better picture" or the tumor had really grown. After a January 25th endobronchioscopic ultrasound fine-needle aspiration biopsy, the final diagnosis was determined to be "Stage 3A poorly differentiated non-small cell metastatic adenocarcinoma of the right lung with bulky lymph node involvement." At least three of her lymph nodes were cancerous as well.

On February 1st Michelle had her final test, a colonoscopy. Three polyps were removed and an inflammatory condition called diverticulitis was identified. Michelle then underwent combination treatment of chemotherapy and milagro oil. She initially diluted the cannabis oil in hempseed oil. Eventually, Michelle worked up to taking pure, undiluted oil. She had four chemotherapy sessions, the last of which was April 5, 2012. She finished her cannabis oil treatment on May 16th.

Michelle experienced complications from chemotherapy during treatment, including nausea and food tasting strange. Even drinking water burned her mouth. It was not until the beginning of July that she could eat properly again. Thankfully, an April 17th CT scan showed her tumor had reduced by 50%. Her lymph nodes were significantly smaller as well. The scan also revealed absence of diverticulitis. As Michelle stated, "Chemo does not touch diverticulitis… it had to be the oil that healed it."
On May 10th, Michelle received a PET scan, which reported “virtually complete resolution of the tumor”. On May 18th, Michelle’s surgeon, Dr. Peter Anastassiou, removed six lymph nodes and the 2.5cm remains of the tumor. These remains turned out to be dead cancer tissue. Michelle endured complications from the surgery and had to remain in the hospital, but was finally discharged on May 31st. Dr. Anastassiou said he had "never seen lung cancer totally eradicated by chemo, much less in four months." Michelle believes cannabis oil made the difference.

Michelle recovered from her ordeal throughout June and July, steadily regaining her energy and appetite. Michelle finished the article with the following statement: "I truly believe that if it wasn’t for Valerie and the oil I would not be alive today. Every day I read about people dying of cancer and I know I was able to heal my body of cancer. Why is this health-giving plant not available to everyone? People should not have to go through the suffering that cancer brings. We need to get this information out to the world. Cannabis is a healing plant and can even heal cancer if we let it."

An interview with Michelle about her experience can be found here: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9AZU-brG7i4

A January 2014 story on a Croatian news station detailed the story of a 38 year old man, referred to as Mark for confidentiality reasons, fighting non-small cell lung cancer (Boson). He was given four to six months to live; twelve if fortunate.

Mark initially used chemotherapy and radiation, which left him in a state he said “you can not even call human.” His face was destroyed, his upper lip swollen, tissue was falling off his fingers, and his skin was overly soft. The pain was especially devastating. Three times a day, Mark thought of killing himself. Despite the barrage of conventional treatments, a medical test revealed the cancer had doubled.

After the news, Mark stopped the treatments and began using cannabis oil. He immediately started feeling better, including pain relief. A month into treatment, Mark began spitting out sizable amounts of dark matter, which was confirmed to be cancerous. After three months, the cancer was no longer visible on X-rays.
Stan Rutner eliminated lung cancer with metastasis to his brain with cannabis oil therapy (Hernandez). Initially, Stan received chemotherapy and radiation treatments for the cancer. These treatments started in March 2011. Brain radiation ended on June 25, 2011. On July 13, Stan entered the hospital for radiation pneumonitis (inflammation of the lungs due to radiation therapy). On the last of three hospital visits during this period, Stan was kept for a week and put on oxygen 24/7. Ultimately, the traditional treatments were ineffective.

Stan entered hospice on August 12 and was given less than six months to live. With no other traditional options, the Rutner family looked into alternatives and found cannabis extracts to be a potential solution. In early November 2011, Stan started taking small doses of cannabis oil-infused coconut oil in the morning. In less than two weeks, he was able to give up the extra oxygen. Stan soon started gaining weight, sleeping better, and getting stronger.

About six months into treatment, Stan started using higher doses of THC-rich oil from Aunt Zelda’s, a medical cannabis organization in California. Stan continued this protocol until January 27, 2013, when an MRI revealed the brain cancer was gone. The lung cancer was gone as well. From hospice to cancer-free health, Stan’s experience is truly remarkable. The author met Stan in California at the United Patients Group conference on November 1, 2014. As of June 2015, Stan is still cancer free and doing well.
Stan Rutner is the father-in-law of John Malanca, who started United Patients Group after Stan's successful experience. UPG has helped hundreds of people learn about and find cannabis medicine. In a June 17, 2015 interview with CannaEffect, John shared a success from a doctor in Florida who contacted him because his wife had brain cancer ("John Malanca"). He did not know what to do and needed support. John provided advice on how to legally procure cannabis in California, and the physician soon found a reliable source of medicine. In seven months, the doctor's wife received clear scans. It was not stated if the woman underwent conventional treatment.

Sharon Kelly is an Australian woman who overcame terminal lung cancer with cannabis oil (Kelly). She was diagnosed with Stage IV non-small cell lung cancer on January 17, 2014. She had a 5cm tumor in her left lung. At least three lymph nodes were infected and there was a small cancer spot on her left collar bone along with cancer in her lung lining. Sharon's doctors described her situation as terminal, but said chemotherapy could prolong her life by 6 to 9 months. Sharon had two intravenous chemotherapy treatments shortly after her diagnosis in January, a 5 hour session and a 1 hour session. Doctors then determined her cancer had a EGFR-positive mutation, which qualified Sharon for a chemotherapeutic drug called Tarceva. They said this drug could prolong her life, but there was no hope for a cure at this stage.

Sharon learned about cannabis oil as a method of potentially saving her life rather than just extending it. After doing extensive research, she determined the treatment was right for her. She began cannabis oil in February 2014. At first, she only orally ingested the oil, but then also began using it rectally as a means of getting more cannabinoids into her system. In addition, she juiced raw cannabis leaf early on. By September 3, 2014, another PET scan indicated Sharon was cancer free. The scans are below.
Several months later, Sharon's cancer returned in a less aggressive form. Again, she has successfully managed it with a combination of cannabis oil and chemotherapy. When dealing with cancers that were deemed terminal by modern medicine, it is not surprising that achieving long-term remission entails challenges. Although Sharon is undergoing chemotherapy, she reports no side effects due to her concurrent use of cannabis oil.

A July 2014 article in a North Carolina newspaper featured statements from James McLemore, who discussed the benefits of medicinal cannabis for Stage III inoperable lung cancer (Moss). He consumed cannabis through eating and juicing. The cannabis juice likely consisted of raw buds and leaves, but it is unclear whether he was eating raw cannabis or cannabis oil. Therefore, McLemore was definitely ingesting large quantities of THCA and/or CBDA, and potentially ingesting large quantities of THC and/or CBD.
"My doctor said he had never seen anyone take the amount of chemo and radiation I took and gain 20 pounds. It's not about getting high. I never felt better in my life than when I was eating and juicing it. I was able to come off of oxygen for four straight months while juicing the plant. I'm in remission. I have another year and a half before I'm considered cancer-free but I've been in remission for 3½ years," said James.

Asbestos.com, one of the leading resources for individuals and families affected by mesothelioma, published a November 14, 2014 story about a man named Andy Ashcraft whose life was tremendously improved with cannabis extract treatment (Povtak).

Mesothelioma is one of the most aggressive cancers; 60% of patients die within a year of diagnosis. Andy was diagnosed with the disease, which had metastasized outside his lungs, in February 2010. At the apparent end of his battle an experimental chemotherapeutic drug had stopped working, so doctors told Andy's wife Ruth it was time for palliative care or a hospice facility. He had already survived past expectations, and around this time had three gallons of fluid drained from his lungs and abdomen at once. Despite the doctor’s prognosis, Ruth refused to give up and acquired cannabis oil through California’s medical program.

The cannabis oil worked remarkably well and pulled Andy from the brink of death. "The man is healthy today, and there is no other explanation for that. Beyond a shadow of doubt, this cannabis oil has worked wonders for him. I’d recommend it for anyone with cancer. I’m not saying it will work every time on everyone, but it’s working for us," Ruth told Asbestos.com.

After using oil Andy was able to cease chemotherapy, along with his cholesterol, diabetes, and blood-pressure medicines. His last scan in October 2014 showed no tumor growth, and he had another scan scheduled for December. Stopping the growth of mesothelioma at this point was quite a feat in itself. Most importantly, Andy’s quality of life dramatically improved. "I feel great right now. I don’t know why, or exactly how, this [cannabis oil] works. My wife knows the details, but I’m healthy, moving and grooving again, living my life," Andy said.
Andy Hospodor, a member of the Society of Cannabis Clinicians, presented at the Pancreatic Cancer: Innovations in Research and Treatment Conference in May 2014 in New Orleans ("We Are"). His presentation abstract is below:

"In over 20 states, cancer patients have access to cannabinoids (aka medical marijuana) and use them to treat symptoms of chemotherapy, such as nausea and lack of appetite. However, new evidence, both scientific and empirical, suggests that higher doses of cannabinoids may be an effective adjuvant alongside traditional chemotherapy agents, such as Gemcitibine. While nausea is controlled with daily dosages of 10 to 40mg, pancreatic cancer cells are known to over express the endo-cannabinoid receptor CB1 one hundred fold. Cannabinoids target different receptors than traditional chemotherapy agents and have low combinatorial toxicity, and as such present a class of new treatments.

   In an n=1 study of a patient with stage IV pancreatic adeno-carcinoma, we augmented the standard Gemcitibine chemotherapy with balanced initial doses 50mg THC and 50mg CBD and increased over a four week period to achieve a 12.5 mg/kg dosage. Curiously, after ten days the patient stopped presenting signs of cannabinoid use, such as red eyes, slurred speech and clumsiness. However, the patients CA-19-9 marker increased from 8,800 at diagnosis to 26,000.

   Over the next four weeks, dosage of CBD was held constant and THC was increased to 1050mg daily for a dosage of 20 mg/kg. At week 6, the CA-19-9 marker began decreasing and cannabinoids were leveled off, although a higher dosage was planned for weeks 8-12. At week 15, CA-19-9 markers dropped to pre-diagnosis levels and a CAT scanned revealed shrinkage of the tumor. We anticipate continued tumor shrinkage and reduction of CA-19-9 marker levels to normal levels by week 20. Although many pancreatic cancer patients have access to cannabinoids, issues such as potency, purity and bio-availablity will impact future adjuvant cannabinoid therapies. We have addressed the issues with existing technology and hope to conduct large scale trials to examine the efficacy of Gemcitibine + cannabinoids in the treatment of pancreatic cancer."

In August 2013, Wallace "Buddy" Rose was told he had a tumor on his pancreas, as indicated by a CT scan ("Amazing"). The cancer was Stage I. Therefore, Wallace's doctor said surgery could save him, but he needed to see an oncologist for a referral to a surgeon. At the meeting with the oncologist, Wallace was told surgically removing the tumor would save his life. However, Wallace did not have insurance and could not afford the surgery. It took six weeks for him to raise the money, after which surgery was scheduled for November 4, 2013.

During surgery, doctors observed the pancreatic tumor had grown through Wallace's pancreatic wall and attached to his stomach and spleen. There were numerous tumors in his liver and a spot in his kidney. The surgery was stopped at this point, and Wallace was formally
diagnosed with Stage IV pancreatic cancer. Doctors said nothing more could be done. On December 18, it was confirmed the cancer had metastasized throughout his body; Wallace was told he could expect to start declining in three weeks and may starve to death in a month. He was explicitly told he could not be cured, but that chemotherapy may prevent the cancer from spreading further and extend his life by six to eight months. The following panel shows Wallace's CA 19-9 scores increasing over the months following his diagnosis.

On December 26, 2013, Wallace began the chemotherapeutic drugs Gemcitabine and Abraxane. On that day, his CA 19-9 score was determined to be 5006.8. Shortly before this, Wallace had a "saying goodbye" party. During the event, one of his relatives slipped some cannabis oil in his wife Cathy's Christmas stocking.

Wallace was initially very skeptical of cannabis oil, believing it to be propaganda for the pro-cannabis legalization movement. With such a bad prognosis he realized he had nothing to lose,
so he began taking two to three grams of cannabis oil each day (a notably high dose). He did not know what to expect and wanted advice from a doctor. Thankfully, he found Dr. Kathleen Smith, who helped him obtain his medical cannabis license.

Wallace ingested cannabis oil over the last few days of December and every day in January. He did not believe in the medicine until a scan in February indicated a dramatically reduced cancer cell count.

The combination of cannabis and chemotherapy nearly eliminated Wallace's cancer. The tumors in his liver, spleen, stomach wall, and kidney were gone. The only thing remaining was a small portion of the original pancreatic tumor. On March 30, 2014, his CA 19-9 was at 42; normal is 35. Wallace stated his intention to continue using cannabis oil and began working again. On May 28, 2014, CT scans showed no cancer and a normal cancer cell count.

The author spoke with Wallace several months after he became cancer free. Among other things, he said he had given away the cannabis oil he was using for maintenance doses to someone in a more urgent situation. Apparently due to this noble decision, the cancer returned. Tragically, Wallace was unable to reinitiate his former treatment protocol. His son reported to the author that Wallace passed away in February 2015. It is hopeful that his experience will encourage clinical trials as well as the use of cannabis extracts by other pancreatic cancer patients.

**Prostate Cancer**

Dennis Hill is a friend of the author and an established meditation teacher. He has written several books on meditation and yoga. For ten years, he also worked in the field of preclinical cancer research. In February 2010, six biopsies revealed highly invasive and aggressive prostate cancer.
PROSTATE NEEDLE BIOPSIES:
The specimen was received in 6 vials containing pink-tan 0.1 cm diameter prostate biopsies in formalin, submitted in total.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right Apex</td>
<td>2.0 cm (Biased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Mid</td>
<td>1.5 cm (Biased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Base</td>
<td>1.7 cm (Stringy, Biased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Apex</td>
<td>1.7 cm (Biased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Mid</td>
<td>2.0 cm (Stringy, Biased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Base</td>
<td>2.5 cm (Biased, Stringy)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All specimens were not totally submerged in fixative.

PROSTATE, NEEDLE BIOPSIES:

(AL) Right Apex: ADENOCARCINOMA (GLEASON SCORE 3 + 4 = 7) INVOLVING 100% OF THE SPECIMEN (1 OF 1 CORES CONTAIN CANCER). GLEASON PATTERN 4 COMPRISMS 60% OF THE CANCER. CANCER LENGTH 2 cm.
Dennis was stunned to hear this diagnosis after having lived such a healthy life, but given the prevalence of prostate cancer in his family, he always knew he was at risk. His prior experience in cancer research made Dennis averse to the traditional routes of chemotherapy, radiation, or surgery, as he was aware of the potentially devastating side effects. He began to research alternative methods of cancer treatment and learned about cannabis oil. After thoughtful consideration, he decided this was the path for him. Dennis’ treatment journal, starting on July 8, 2010, is recorded online (Hill, “Treatment”).

Prior to the first entry, Dennis had been consuming cannabis-infused butter, as he had not acquired full-strength cannabis oil yet. After procuring oil his condition steadily improved. A prostate biopsy was taken on January 25, 2011 to determine if the cancer was going into remission, and on February 8, 2011, Dennis learned he was cancer free (Hill, “Cured”). The only pharmaceutical-type treatment Dennis received was three injections of Lupron, an androgen antagonist which can potentially slow the rate of cancer growth. However, it does not attack cancer cells directly.
Dennis appeared on a program called "Spiral Up with Ava Marie" to tell his story ("Spiral Up").
Taras Wybaczynsky, the founder of a cannabis extract company called Rimidia, revealed his battle with prostate cancer in a blog interview (Volpe). "My motivation came from my own personal disease. I was diagnosed 17-years-ago with metastatic prostate cancer. This product has allowed me to be the longest-lived metastatic prostate cancer patient at the medical facility I am involved with," said Taras. He also recounted experiences from others who used his brand of cannabis medicine. "The results of both topical and ingestion of our product have been astonishing with psoriasis, pain, neuromuscular and neoskeletal pain, and so much more."

A September 18, 2013 article from The Telegram reported Paul Morrissey's experience with Stage IV prostate cancer (Sweet, "Man Convinced"). He had put off medical treatments for about a year while trying to source cannabis oil. During this time, the cancer began spreading to his back and lymph nodes. At some point early in his battle, he used a pill medication for about a month and an injection prescribed by his oncologist, but refused conventional chemotherapy or radiation (Sweet, "Man Says"). Paul discontinued the pills and injections after acquiring cannabis oil and experiencing its profound benefits. He said his prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels (a marker of prostate cancer) plummeted after six weeks of cannabis oil ingestion.

Dr. Randy Hart, Paul's physician, confirmed that his PSA levels had dropped substantially, from 29.5 to 3.3. Some regression in his lymph nodes and abdomen was also observed. Dr. Hart said there was a "major improvement in his situation," and Paul had a "really good response." He also cautioned that there was no way to be certain cannabis oil was responsible for his recovery, as the conventional medication may have contributed to his lower PSA levels.

However, Paul credits the cannabis oil with the majority of his recovery. "It makes me feel 20 years younger, that’s what the marijuana oil does," said Paul. He also remarked on how he was able to shovel snow for three hours during a recent blizzard. "There was pretty ferocious wind and snow. I came out of it looking like a walking popsicle. However after all that work and so forth I was in excellent condition. Even without cancer, I wouldn’t suspect I’d last that long or do that well."

Adding to the likelihood that cannabis oil worked for Paul is a January 14, 2015 article, which shared Paul's continued wellness (Sweet, "Marijuana Oil"). He is still only using cannabis oil and has become a major advocate for clinical trials.
Rhabdomyosarcoma

Chico Ryder was diagnosed with Stage III/Group III parameningeal embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma, an especially aggressive soft tissue cancer, in December 2012 (Kander, "13-Year-Old"). He immediately began chemotherapy after diagnosis. From February to March 2013, Chico also completed a 28-session protocol of radiation, which consisted of one session per day five days a week. The intense combination of these treatments caused horrendous side effects, including near-daily vomiting and painful nerve damage. Chico eventually needed to stay in the hospital every time he received chemotherapy.

Chico’s parents, Paul and Angela Ryder, knew they needed to do something to mitigate the damage from conventional treatments and boost their cancer-fighting power. After Angela learned about cannabis oil and extensively researched the treatment option, the family decided it was the right thing to do.

Chico first tried Marinol, a synthetic form of THC, which moderately improved his symptoms. However, the drug's benefits diminished over time. He then began receiving cannabis oil from Aunt Zelda’s in July 2013. The impact from this better form of cannabis extract therapy was remarkable. While the oil did not fully reverse the side effects of the large doses of chemotherapy and radiation, Chico experienced significant benefits. His vomiting reduced by half and his neuropathy stopped progressing. By August 2013 he was able to get off intravenous nutrition and begin using a G-tube, which is better for liver function.

To fight the pain, Chico was prescribed substantial amounts of opiates, including methadone. Cannabis oil enabled Chico to wean off opiates while controlling pain. Furthermore, Chico’s white blood cell counts recovered faster than expected after chemotherapy sessions, and he did not need to delay chemotherapy at all once he began using cannabis oil.

Chico utilized a range of integrative therapies alongside conventional treatment, including cannabis oil, mistletoe, acupuncture, medicinal mushrooms, IP6 and many other
supplements. By November 2013, Chico was declared in remission. He substantially reduced his cannabis dose to 85mg of THC and 100mg of CBD per day for maintenance. Chico has since recovered from much of the damage he endured, but he still has a fair way to go before he is completely healed.

**Skin Cancer**

David Triplett treated skin cancer on his nose with cannabis oil and documented his results in a short film titled *Cured: A Cannabis Story*, released on July 6, 2010 (“Cured”).
Maggie Peron discussed her 65-year old father's use of cannabis oil in a December 23, 2009 video (Avery). He had squamous cell carcinoma on his hands and had to live with constant scabs, pus, sores, and pain. She convinced her dad to try topically-applied cannabis oil one night; the very next day he reported substantially reduced pain. After a month, the scabs and sores almost completely disappeared. There was hardly any scaring, and the pus had gone away completely. "That’s the main thing, there’s no pain," said Maggie.
Michael McShane turned to cannabis oil after trying to find a more effective way to treat his recurring skin cancer (Gholson). In the late 1980s he was diagnosed with HIV, which subsequently led to many bouts with cancer. For years, Michael fought these cancers with surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation. In June 2011, the cancer on his face returned in a spot where it was previously removed with surgery. Although initially skeptical, Michael gave cannabis oil a chance. "I got Simpson oil and started putting it on and after ten days, ten days I saw it. The cancer started to break up before my eyes. It looked like a big white callous, and it started to fragment and break up. I was crying. It was unbelievable."

In an August 2011 Detroit CBS News article, Michael’s dermatologist Dr. Ali Moiin said the cancer cells had decreased up to 60 percent after two months, and the results warranted scientific study into cannabis extracts for skin cancer (McNeill, "Skin Cancer Patient Says Oil"). An article a month later confirmed all visible signs of cancer were gone, and Dr. Moiin was no longer recommending surgery (McNeill, "Skin Cancer Patient Says Medical").
Michael has since had some recurrences of skin cancer, but has continued to manage them with cannabis oil.

Brian Stewart is a Canadian Motorsports Hall of Fame inductee who successfully treated his cancer with cannabis oil ("Rethink Cannabis"). After noticing a progressively growing tumor in his ear, Brian went to his doctor, who said he would have to remove Brian's entire ear to get the tumor. Brian accepted this and made an appointment to see a specialist.

In the meantime, a friend told Brian about cannabis oil and gave him some. Despite having never smoked a cannabis cigarette in his life, Brian decided to trial it. Within a week of topically applying the oil, bits of the tumor were falling off in the shower. Treatment was interrupted when Brian took a trip to Dallas, but upon returning he finished the treatment. From start to finish, not including his time in Texas, it took three and a half weeks for the tumor to disappear.

Brian didn’t stop with his own healing; he helped at least two other skin cancer patients. A friend’s wife had skin cancer in her ear, and topically applying around three grams of oil over three and a half weeks (about the same amount of time as Brian) eliminated the cancer. Another friend’s wife developed melanoma on her leg. Most of it was eliminated with surgery, but some cancer apparently remained between the stitching. Brian gave the woman cannabis oil, which eradicated the remnants. After seeing his video, it is clear why Brian is often referred to as one of the greatest personalities in Canadian racing history.

A June 9, 2013 video shared how a man named Jack used a comprehensive cannabis treatment program to control skin cancer ("RRTV // Jack"). For 40 years, Jack had to regularly see doctors due to the aggressiveness of the cancer. For the most recent decade of this history, he needed to undergo surgery to remove continuously reappearing skin cancer lesions.

After a year of being part of River Rock, he talked with Tony Verzura, a proprietor of the dispensary, about a plan to combat his skin cancer. They created a complete program that included teas, tinctures, capsules, oils, and raw juices. Within a month, Jack's skin had improved
dramatically. After another two weeks, he went into his three-month regularly scheduled appointment, where normally he would have lesions excised or froze off. At this appointment, for the first time in at least ten years, the doctor found nothing to remove.

Jack also used small amounts of topically-applied cannabis oil for single spots of skin cancer that appeared. He said that by putting oil onto a bandage and then onto a spot, it disappeared in days.

Harborside Health Center, the largest dispensary in the world, supplies cannabis extracts for a wide variety of uses. The owner, Stephen DeAngelo, is an accomplished activist and businessman in the United States. He appeared in Run From the Cure 2, the sequel to Rick Simpson’s original documentary about cannabis extracts treating cancer (“Run From”). In a segment from the documentary's trailer, Stephen said, "We have skin cancer patients who are coming to Harborside every week. They take the oil, they put the oil on their lesions, the lesions stop growing immediately."

Cannabis Science, a Colorado-based corporation, posted documentation of basal cell carcinoma being eliminated with the topical use of cannabis oil in 2011 ("Cannabis Science"). Dr. Robert
Melamede, the former CEO of the company, has shared additional documentation of skin cancer remissions at medical cannabis conferences.

Dear Adriene,

Re:  
D.O.B:  

I saw [omitted] again last week and undertook some biopsies.

As you know we have been keeping an eye on her right cheek for a couple of years. She treated the biopsy proven BCC with a tar extract which seemed to get rid of the BCC from a clinical point of view. A persistent red spot in the middle of the area was biopsied last week, the result of which showed benign fibrous papule only. There was certainly no evidence of BCC. I reassured [omitted] of this result over the phone today.

Over the last couple of months [omitted] has noticed a scaly area on the right alar. To exclude BCC we also took a shave biopsy of this last week. The pathology result was simply solar keratosis.

At this stage I have not arranged to see [omitted] again routinely but I would be happy to see her at anytime if necessary.

[omitted] knows to report any suspicious changes that occur. Thank you again for referring her.

Yours sincerely,

[omitted]
Robert Platshorn is the founder of The Silver Tour, an organization dedicated to educating senior citizens on the benefits of cannabis for age-related diseases. He spent almost 30 years in prison for importing cannabis into the United States. A couple years after being released, Robert began having many skin cancers appear on his body (Platshorn). He had one carcinoma surgically removed, but the resulting wound would not heal and the cancer began returning. Robert acquired cannabis oil and began topically treating the cancer. After three days, the wound began growing fresh skin rather than scar tissue, and the cancer died. Over the next few months, Robert continued to successfully treat new skin cancers with cannabis oil.

Robert also lamented that his parole officer, a man named Tony, was unable to use cannabis for the debilitating disease he became afflicted with. Tony was aware of Robert's use of cannabis for skin cancer and had no objections. He was currently undergoing chemotherapy, and talked with Robert about his desire to use cannabis. However, he never did out of fear of losing his job and pension. He eventually passed away, leaving behind a wife and two daughters.

After Tony's passing, Robert was given a new parole officer who was not as sympathetic to his situation. He was ordered to stop using cannabis oil. This caused great hardship to Robert, as he was no longer able to self-treat the cancer and had to undergo at least some surgery. As of June 2015, Robert was still vibrantly sharing his message and attending conferences. He shows no signs of slowing down.

A graphic featured on CureYourOwnCancer.org showed a melanoma remission from a woman named Tricia Dennis ("Cannabis Oil Testimonials"). Jon Marsh, the founder of Cannabis Oil Success Stories, a popular Facebook group, originally created this graphic.
Another image from the same website demonstrated an anonymous success with basal cell carcinoma. The cancer was treated with cannabis oil over a three week period ("5078532_orig.jpg").
There are many reports of doctors or caregivers observing success against a wide range of cancers through the use of cannabis oil. In addition to the patent mentioned earlier, a patent published March 7, 2013 related to the use of phytocannabinoids like THC and CBD for the treatment of cancer, particularly prostate, breast, or colon cancer ("Patent US20130059018").

Dr. Jeffrey Hergenrather is a former emergency room physician, President of the California Cannabis Research Medical Group, and one of the first licensed doctors to treat patients with cannabis. Dr. Hergenrather has presented at numerous CME-accredited medical conferences, and a presentation he delivered on June 15, 2014 succinctly captured his observations (Futcher). He shared how cannabis was positively affecting many conditions, including irritable bowel disease, Alzheimer’s, and many forms of cancer. Results seen with IBD and Alzheimer’s were dramatic and many patients refused to return to their original medicines after using cannabis.

In terms of treating cancer, Dr. Hergenrather said he has seen good results by topically applying cannabis oil on carcinomas. The article summarizes:

"Not all tumors are sensitive to cannabinoids. Hergenrather said common lung cancer, and some thyroid and breast carcinomas do not appear to respond well to cannabis treatment. Cancers that have responded, he said, are: neuroblastomas; certain types of breast cancer; hepatic, renal, pancreatic cancer; colorectal, cervical and prostate cancers; Hodgkins, Non-Hodgkins, and Mantle cell lymphomas; some leukemias; skin cancers, and sarcomas."
An oncology nurse with 17 years of experience named Valerie Warwick remarked on her cannabis extract observations in a December 17, 2014 interview (Wark). She has seen many cancers completely healed or significantly improved with cannabis treatment, including lymphoma, leukemia, breast cancer, colon cancer, and more.

A biotechnology research organization known as Emerald Bio is one of many working to develop cannabinoid-based drugs to fight cancer. The CEO, Johan Pontin, casually alluded to the fact that cannabis extracts were already being used to treat cancer in humans in a January 28, 2014 Boston Globe article (Stockman). A segment from the article states:

"Pontin, who applied for a license through an offshoot company, says it’s not just a chance to ease the pain of a couple of hundred cancer patients. It’s a chance to develop new drugs that might actually cure cancer itself."
That’s right. He says that caregivers in Rhode Island who’ve been working with marijuana for years have beaten back cancer with highly concentrated oils derived from cannabis.

‘There was an 80-year-old patient with esophageal cancer,’ he said. ‘Today, she is 91 years old and totally cancer-free. Even the insurance company couldn’t believe it.’"

The Wo/Men's Alliance for Medical Marijuana (WAMM) is a health cooperative in Santa Cruz, California, founded by Valerie and Mike Corral. They specialize in treating seriously and terminally ill patients, including cancer patients. Michelle Aldrich, whose case was shared in the Lung Cancer section, used WAMM's medicine and Valerie's general support in her fight against lung cancer. According to United States Federal Judge Jeremy Fogel, "WAMM is the gold standard of the medical marijuana movement" (Krassner).

Valerie has presented her work at several conferences. At the Eighth National Clinical Conference on Cannabis Therapeutics she shared information about six late-stage patient cases, including data on THC:CBD ratios, dosage levels, and cancer markers decreasing (Kander, "RECAP").

In a May 11, 2015 article in a local Santa Cruz paper, Corral alluded to the amazing results she is seeing (Clark). "We treat many cancer patients with our cannabis extract Milagro Oils, and the results are staggering. Every day people call to tell us that instead of their condition worsening, there’s no evidence of disease."

Dr. Allan Frankel revealed his cancer-related observations in a March 9, 2014 interview (Mercola). Dr. Frankel has treated patients with cannabis for the past seven years. He has seen "tumors virtually disappear in some patients using no other therapy except taking 40 to 60
milligrams of cannabinoids a day." He has seen tumors shrink and metastatic areas disappear. Interestingly, in some cases he has observed tumors vanish, reemerge in other areas, then shrink or vanish again.

Corrie Yelland is a cancer survivor and prominent activist who eliminated terminal anal canal cancer with cannabis oil (Yelland). She also used the oil to overcome a long-term, treatment-resistant chronic pain condition stemming from heart surgery she received in 2007.

In July 2011, Corrie was diagnosed with anal canal cancer. Shortly before, she had also been diagnosed with two spots of skin cancer on her collar bone. She underwent two surgeries to remove the anal canal cancer, but was told radiation would be necessary to treat the deepest parts of the cancer. After learning of radiation's potentially devastating side effects, including 2nd and 3rd degree vaginal and rectal burns, Corrie decided she did not want to pursue the conventional treatment. Without it, she was given two to six months to live. She learned about the anticancer potential of cannabis oil from a friend, and after doing substantial research decided she would move forward with cannabis therapy.

Corrie started with small doses of orally-ingested cannabis oil, slowly working her way up to higher doses. She also applied the oil topically to her skin cancers. There were visible changes within 48 hours, and in just over a week the spots were completely gone. Two weeks into her regimen, the pain in Corrie's sternum, as well as her nerve pain, had become almost nonexistent. This pain had been with her 24/7; she never imagined she would be pain free again. This allowed her to sleep through the night and stop taking sleeping pills.

In addition to taking cannabis oil orally, Corrie used cannabis suppositories in the form of gelatin capsules filled with cannabis and olive oils. Her experience with skin cancer led her to believe that getting the medicine as close to the cancer as possible would maximize
effectiveness. By May 2012, the doctor who discovered Corrie's cancer could no longer detect it. Corrie went to a specialist on September 20, 2012 for more extensive testing, where it was confirmed that she was completely cancer free.

A report from a local ABC News station reported on Brett Strauss' experience with neck cancer ("Medical Marijuana Cancer"). Brett was first diagnosed with cancer in 2007. After beating it with conventional treatments, the cancer returned as five malignant tumors in January 2010. He decided to try topically applying cannabis extract balm on his neck, and in March 2010 doctors identified only one tumor as malignant. After his experience, Brett wanted to research the effects of cannabis oil for other cancer patients. However, there does not seem to be any further information available about his intended research.
A December 7, 2014 story from an NBC affiliate reported on Cecilia von Harz ("Toddler Using"). She was diagnosed with a rare kidney cancer called Wilms’ tumor in 2013. Surgeries, radiation, and chemotherapy were all attempted, but damage to Cecilia’s liver prevented further treatment. At this point, parents Jim and Jaclyn sought out alternative treatments, which consisted of cannabis oil and an organic sugar-free diet. The effects were powerful. "She was sick, she was in pain," Jaclyn said. "But she’s so much better now. She’s happy, she’s energetic." The parents also said the treatments have shown great promise in shrinking Cecilia's tumors.

David Adkins was diagnosed with a spinal tumor stretching from the second thoracic vertebrae to the ninth ("Introducing"). After seven weeks of cannabis extract therapy, the tumor disappeared. David stated:

"It does work. It’s not just that it makes me feel better, it’s taken care of the problem, the tumor’s gone. We never did a biopsy to know whether the tumor was cancerous or non-cancerous, but either way it didn’t matter because it was growing in a spot that was going to paralyze me or kill
me, and they can’t find it now. So… there’s no doubt that it works. Not only do I feel better, I see the results on the MRIs.”

The March 1868-February 1869 issue of The Medical Record documented several cases of physicians using cannabis extracts in their practices, among hundreds of other general reports from across the United States (Shrady). One such case on Page 371 described the use of cannabis tincture to treat a fibroid tumor.

**Absorption of Fibroid Tumors of the Uterus.—J. C. Peters, M.D. (V. Y. Medical Gazette), had a case of fibroid tumor, which diminished and almost disappeared under the continued use of tincture of cannabis indica in twenty to thirty-drop doses, persisted in for over two years. It was given for the purpose of arresting hemorrhage and relieving pain, without disturbing the digestion.**

The pains about the uterus, pelvis, hips, and thighs, ceased under this treatment; the appetite and strength improved, and finally the tumor diminished in size, and the remains of it can now only be detected by careful manipulation. All hemorrhage has ceased for over a year.

**Conclusion**

The evidence demonstrating the ability of cannabis extracts to fight cancer in humans is truly overwhelming. As shared in this book, there are studies indicating how both phytocannabinoids and endocannabinoids can directly kill or inhibit most major forms of cancer. They induce apoptosis, inhibit angiogenesis, mitigate proliferation, and stop metastasis of cancer cells, among other unique modes of anticancer activity. While most studies utilized cell and animal models, a
few indicated anticancer effects in humans, such as one of Dr. Guzmán's studies showing THC reduced VEGF, a pro-angiogenic molecule, in cell cultures and humans. The scientific evidence alone strongly suggests cannabinoids kill cancer in people.

Many now-accepted medical benefits of cannabis were first reported in preclinical studies. These studies have shown the potential of cannabinoids to treat chronic pain, spasticity, nausea, anxiety, Crohn's disease, and even intractable forms of epilepsy. Large-scale observational or double-blind, placebo-controlled trials have proven that cannabinoids can treat these conditions. Therefore, it has been definitively proven that the benefits observed in preclinical studies extend to humans in many cases. Cancer is no exception.

Indeed, there are now many well-documented testimonials of human beings eliminating or controlling cancers with cannabis extracts. These experiences are being reported directly by patients as well as doctors, dispensaries, corporations, and caregivers. Moreover, such experiences have been consistently reported for almost a decade. If cannabis were not fighting cancer, it is highly doubtful that successful experiences would have kept being reported over such a long time period. As shown in the previous section, there is even evidence of antitumor activity of cannabinoids going back to the 1860s.

I personally have two close family friends who used cannabis extracts to successfully treat their cancers. One of them, Dennis Hill, is described in this book. I have attended numerous CME-accredited medical cannabis conferences where I met many people, doctors and patients alike, who were reporting direct anticancer results. Several individuals I met were sent home on hospice yet are now cancer free because of cannabis oil.

In the past seven years, I have spoken with hundreds of patients and caregivers who have used cannabis and seen success against cancer and other diseases. The cases included in this book are only a fraction of the testimonials that exist. There is absolutely no doubt – cannabis extracts fight cancer in humans.

Despite the amazing potential, there are many limits of cannabis extract medicine. First, there are some people who seem to be resistant; their cancers do not decrease when treated with cannabis. This could be due to genetic variations or environmental factors. For example, while everyone has CB1 and CB2 receptors, individuals possess naturally varying levels of these receptors, as well as somewhat different types. It is now being reported that there are "splice variants" of cannabinoid receptors which may impact their signaling. There are certainly other factors which impact the effectiveness of cannabis.

Furthermore, cannabinoids largely fight cancer via the endocannabinoid system. If the system is damaged by poor nutrition, then cannabinoids will probably not work as well. For example, a proper Omega-6 fatty acid to Omega-3 fatty acid balance is integral to the endocannabinoid system's health, yet many people are deficient in Omega-3. Maintaining the right essential fatty acid balance, avoiding excess sugar and processed foods, and eating lots of vegetables contribute significantly to optimal healing.

It is also clear that maintenance doses of cannabis oil are required for long-term health. Many individuals who have stopped taking cannabis oil after becoming cancer-free see their
cancers return, while those who continue to ingest small amounts tend to stay healthy. Thankfully, by using CBD-rich oils and/or very small amounts of THC-rich oil, psychoactivity can be entirely avoided, so people can continue using cannabis with no impairment. In fact, virtually everyone should be taking at least small doses of CBD-rich oil to help prevent cancer and provide cardioprotective/neuroprotective benefits.

There is a clear need for chemotherapy and radiation in many cases. Some people do not respond to cannabis oil alone, and see incredible progress by adding conventional therapy. Chemotherapeutic agents are generally much more potent against cancer cells than cannabinoids on a milligram-for-milligram basis. Since cannabinoids are shown to work synergistically with chemotherapy/radiation and greatly diminish side effects, they can tremendously improve standard treatments. Cannabinoids may also allow much lower doses of chemotherapy and radiation.

It is imperative to respect the decisions of patients and understand the unique circumstances of each person. If someone is diagnosed with a cancer that has a 90% chance of being eliminated with chemotherapy, it is arguably most sensible for that person to combine chemotherapy with cannabis oil. On the other hand, if someone has a terminal form of cancer with little expected benefit from chemotherapy, using cannabis oil alone may make more sense.

Input from a range of concerned individuals, including medical doctors, is imperative to positive outcomes. Patients should always work with their doctor throughout treatment. However, it is ultimately up to the patient to decide what to do.

Some patients are driven by personal beliefs to avoid conventional treatment and use only natural therapies. This decision must be respected. Furthermore, when patients aggressively treat their cancers in this way, and do not rely on cannabis oil alone, the outcome is usually positive. One caregiver who I have worked closely with for almost two years provides his patients with the highest quality cannabis extracts, but also advises them on how to follow strict nutritional guidelines as well as the right other supplements to take. He reports that he has only lost two patients, who were in the late stages of cancer, out of dozens of cancer patients who have worked with him. The human body has an awe-inspiring capability to heal when given the right nutrients, and this is something that is often forgotten about in modern medicine.

It is hopeful that one day, natural and nontoxic therapies will completely replace chemotherapy and radiation. For now, these treatments are necessary evils. The way that many patients have described chemotherapy to me is horrifying. They say it makes them feel less than human, that they have never felt so sick in their life. In addition to the short-term pain, there are long-term side effects of these treatments as well. One friend with a brain tumor permanently lost a significant part of his balance because of radiation. Many have told me about the unbearable neuropathic pain that often results from chemotherapy. In essence, patients have to recover twice – first from the cancer, then from the treatment. This is not right, and we desperately need to find better solutions as soon as possible.

Cannabis may offer this solution. Different formulations of cannabis products may be able to be targeted at specific cancers in specific populations. Complimentary nutritional and
lifestyle programs could be designed to support and optimize cannabis extract therapy. There may be some cases where chemotherapy or radiation is needed, but how great would it be if cannabis, nutrition, and other natural techniques could truly replace them for everything? No doctor or patient would ever choose current conventional treatment if there were another nontoxic option with the same or a better success rate.

It is important to balance both the promise and the limitations of cannabis extract medicine when making health decisions. For now, many of these decisions will include some or a great deal of conventional treatment. Again, it is ultimately the patient's decision about what to do. When that decision is informed by medical doctors, caregivers, family and friends, it is most likely to be the right one. However, since so few doctors know about the anticancer effects of cannabis, it is often up to the patient to educate their physician about what they are doing.
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