The Obama administration says that drug use should be treated as a health issue instead of a criminal justice issue. Yet its budget and its drug policies have largely emphasized enforcement, prosecution and incarceration at home, and interdiction, eradication and military escalation abroad. Even what the government does spend on treatment and prevention is overstated, as many of its programs are wasteful and counterproductive.

Drug War Policies Dominate Federal Drug Budget
The enacted federal drug war budget totaled roughly $26 billion in 2015, and the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) has requested an even larger budget for 2016. An additional $25 billion is spent at the state and local levels on the drug war every year.

A significant majority of this annual budget – roughly 55 percent – is devoted to policies that attempt to reduce the supply of drugs, such as interdiction, eradication and domestic law enforcement. Less than 45 percent is devoted to treatment, education and prevention – what is commonly known as “demand reduction.” Almost nothing is spent on life-saving harm reduction services.

Supply reduction = interdiction, eradication & law enforcement
Demand reduction = education, prevention & treatment.
Source: ONDCP; Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics.

The 2016 budget request is not much different. It contains nearly the same basic ratio of supply-to-demand funding. These distorted funding priorities have not changed significantly under the last several administrations.

Supply reduction efforts have proven ineffective, costly and destructive, and have distracted from proven strategies to reduce the harms of drug misuse. Despite incarcerating tens of millions of people and spending more than a trillion dollars in the past forty years, drugs remain cheap, potent and widely available.

The drug war strategy also pulls any discussion of alternatives to failed prohibitionist policies off the table. While President Obama and other members of his administration have gone so far as to say that drug legalization is a legitimate topic for debate, the administration’s drug control strategies have disparaged marijuana regulation.

Demand Reduction: Underfunded and Overstated
The federal government simply refuses to prioritize proven demand reduction strategies, even though the U.S. is the largest consumer of drugs in the world. Effective treatment and education programs are a far better investment – and far more likely to improve public safety and health – than arrests and incarceration. A seminal study by the RAND Corporation found that every dollar invested in drug treatment saves taxpayers $7.46 in societal costs – a reduction that would cost 15 times as much in supply-side, law enforcement expenditure to achieve.
Even what the government does spend on demand reduction is overstated, because many of these programs have been wasteful and unsuccessful. For example, several longstanding federal prevention efforts, like the National Youth Anti-Drug Media campaign and Drug Abuse Resistance Education (DARE) program – are costly, ineffective, and might actually lead to unintended negative consequences.

Much federal funding for treatment is, in fact, funneled into the criminal justice system – which is far less effective than health-based approaches. Drug courts, have not significantly reduced the likelihood of incarceration, routinely deny proven treatments like methadone, and absorb scarce resources better spent on demonstrated health approaches like community-based treatment. It’s disingenuous for ONDCP to claim that wasting money on failed, criminal justice approaches is “treatment”. It is not.

The biggest problem we face isn’t the use of drugs; it’s the misuse of drugs. Data consistently show that the vast majority of people who experiment with illegal drugs do not develop addiction or dependence.

Arresting people who use drugs non-problematically and forcing them into treatment takes up resources that could be invested in helping people struggling with serious drug problems. People who use marijuana are much less likely to become dependent but are increasingly forced into treatment by the criminal justice system – the source of over half of all treatment referrals for marijuana each year. Forcing people into treatment instead of prison for low-level drug offenses is definitely not a health approach. Getting arrested should not be a requirement for receiving treatment.

The federal government continues to privilege abstinence-only approaches to treatment and prevention, to the exclusion of proven, evidence-based interventions. This costly, punitive, zero-tolerance approach has overwhelmingly failed. The U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) found that ONDCP has “not made progress toward achieving most of the goals articulated in the 2010 National Drug Control Strategy,” and, in fact, has lost ground in vital areas like reducing youth drug use, overdose deaths, and HIV infections among people who inject drugs.

Conclusion
President Obama and members of his administration say that drug use should be treated as a health issue, not a criminal justice issue. The administration has even adopted some limited drug policy changes: pledging not to interfere with states that regulate marijuana and embracing certain overdose prevention and sentencing reform measures, such as de-emphasizing the federal prosecution of low-level drug offenses to reduce federal prison overcrowding.

Yet his budget and the bulk of his drug policies continue to emphasize enforcement, prosecution and incarceration at home, and interdiction, eradication and military escalation abroad.
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After 40 years of failure, we need to invest in proven health-based strategies – not just talk about them. Instead of throwing more money at supply-side interventions that are proven failures, the Drug Policy Alliance advocates addressing U.S. demand for drugs by funding a diverse array of treatment models and effective prevention and harm reduction programs.

It’s time we developed a comprehensive strategy for dealing with drug abuse in the 21st century by focusing on what works. We know what doesn’t work: In the last 30 years, the number of Americans in prison has increased tenfold. We have less than 5% of the world’s population but almost 25% of its prison population.

This isn’t a partisan issue. Facing massive budget deficits, both parties are searching for alternatives to prison for people who use drugs – because locking them up is only making us poorer, not safer.
But thanks to decades of scientific research, we now know a lot about what does work. We know, for example, that every dollar spent on quality treatment for drug-dependent people returns several dollars in savings in the first year alone. It’s time we treated people struggling with drug misuse the way we’d want to help a family member struggling with addiction to alcohol or other drugs: by using what works.
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